



umsurabaya

Muhammadiyah University of Surabaya

THESIS

**THE ANALYSIS OF SEVENTH GRADE ENGLISH FINAL TEST
BASED ON NATIONAL CURRICULUM IN SOUTH DISTRICT
GRESIK**

DIANA REMITA SARI

NIM. 20131111069

THE ADVISORS

Gusti Nur Hafifah, S.Pd., M.Pd.

Sulton Dhedi Wijaya, M.Pd.

ENGLISH EDUCATION DEPARTMENT

FACULTY OF TEACHER TRAINING AND EDUCATION

MUHAMMADIYAH UNIVERSITY OF SURABAYA

2017

**THE ANALYSIS OF SEVENTH GRADE ENGLISH FINAL TEST BASED ON
NATIONAL CURRICULUM IN SOUTH DISTRICT GRESIK**

THESIS

Submitted in Partial Fulfillment of Requirement for Bachelor of Education Degree in
English Education

By

DIANA REMITA SARI

NIM: 20131111069

**ENGLISH EDUCATION DEPARTMENT
FACULTY OF TEACHER TRAINING AND EDUCATION
MUHAMMADIYAH UNIVERSITY OF SURABAYA**

2017

Motto :

“Never give up, believe that Allah always with us because never impossible for He if He say Yes or Not for us is possible, KUN FAYAKUN!”

Dedication :

The research is dedicate for Allah SWT that always blessing me, my mother, almarhuma Yuliana as my beloved young sister and Firman as my beloved brother, all my family, friends, and someone who always loving, support, guidance me to finished this research, thanks a lot for it.

Halaman Persetujuan Pembimbing

Skripsi yang ditulis oleh Diana Remita Sari ini telah disetujui oleh dosen pembimbing untuk diujikan tanggal 04 Agustus 2017.

Dosen Pembimbing	Tanda Tangan	Tanggal
I. Gusti Nur Hafifah, S.Pd., M.Pd	28 Juli 2017
II. Sulton Dedi Wijaya, M.Pd	30 Juli 2017

Mengetahui:

Ketua Program Studi Pendidikan Bahasa Inggris,

Drs. Wijayadi, M.Pd

Halaman Pengesahan Panitia Ujian

Skripsi ini yang ditulis oleh Diana Remita Sari telah diuji dan dinyatakan sah oleh Panitia Ujian Tingkat Sarjana (S1) Fakultas Keguruan dan Ilmu Pendidikan Universitas Muhammadiyah Surabaya sebagai salah satu syarat memperoleh gelar Sarjana Pendidikan pada tanggal 04 Agustus 2017.

Dosen Penguji	Tanda Tangan	Tanggal
I. Gusti Nur Hafifah, S.Pd., M.Pd.	04 Agustus 2017
II. Waode Hamsia, S.Pd., M.Pd.	04 Agustus 2017
III. Sofi Yuniarti, SS., M.Pd.	04 Agustus 2017

Mengetahui,
Fakultas Keguruan dan Ilmu Pendidikan
Universitas Muhammadiyah Surabaya,
Dekan,

Endah Hendarwati, SE., M.Pd

Pernyataan Tidak Melakukan Plagiat

Saya yang bertanda tangan dibawah ini:

Nama : Diana Remita Sari

NIM : 20131111069

Program Studi : Bahasa Inggris


Fakultas : FKIP

Menyatakan bahwa Skripsi yang saya tulis ini benar – benar tulisan karya sendiri, bukan hasil plagiasi, baik sebagian maupun keseluruhan. Bila dikemudian hari terbukti hasil plagiasi maka saya bersedia menerima sanksi akademik sesuai ketentuan yang berlaku di Universitas Muhammadiyah Surabaya.

Surabaya, 31 Juli 2017

Yang membuat pernyataan,

Materai



Diana Remita Sari

NIM.20131111069

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The first of all, I would address all the praise and gratitude to the God Almighty, Allah SWT, for the greatest blessing, grace and guidance into me, hence this thesis can be accomplished.

This thesis is present to English Department, the Faculty of Teacher Training and Education, Muhammadiyah University of Surabaya as a partial fulfillment for the Degree of Strata 1 (S1), titled “The Analysis of English Final Test of Seventh Grade Students Based on National Curriculum in South District Gresik”. I express my deep gratitude to all the people who have great merit in helping and guiding me through all difficulties in the process of my study and completion of this thesis. With very great respect, I would like to thank:

1. Dr. dr. Sukadiono, MM., as the Rector of Muhammadiyah University of Surabaya;
2. Endah Hendarwati, SE., M.Pd., as the Dean of faculty of teacher training and education;
3. Drs. Wijayadi, M.Pd., as the Head of English Department;
4. Mrs. Gusti Nur Hafifah, S.Pd., M.Pd. and Mr. Sulton Dedi Wijaya, S.Pd., M.Pd, as my advisors for the patience, advice and valuable guidance during my study and upon completion of my thesis;
5. My mother for all the affection and sincere love for me;
6. My dearest Sister and brother, almarhuma Yuliana Dwi Indah Sari and M. Firmansyah;
7. Someone who always loving, support and guidance me and all my friends that always support me.

Finally, the researcher realizes that this thesis is far for being perfect. Therefore, the researcher would like to accept any criticism and suggestion to make this thesis better.

Surabaya, July 31st, 2017

Diana Remita Sari
NIM 20131111069

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Motto and Dedication	i
Halaman Persetujuan Pembimbing.....	ii
Halaman Pengesahan Panitia Ujian	iii
Pernyataan Keaslian Tulisan	iv
ABSTRACT	v
ACKNOWLEDGMENT	vii
TABLE OF CONTENTS	viii
THE LISTS OF TABLES	xi
THE LISTS OF FIGURES	xiii
THE LIST OF APPENDICES.....	xiv
CHAPTER I INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Background of the Study	1
1.2 Statement of the Problem	3
1.3 Objective of the Research.....	4
1.4 Significance of the Research	4
1.5 Scope and Limitation of the Study	5
1.6 Definition of Key Terms	6
CHAPTER II LITERATURE REVIEWS.....	7
2.1 The Curriculum	7
2.2 Teachers Work Group	9
2.3 Language Testing and Assessment.....	11
2.4 Type of Assessment and Testing.....	12
2.5 The Criteria of Good Assessment	13
2.5.1 Practicality	13
2.5.2 Reliability	14
2.5.3 Validity	14
2.5.4 Authenticity	14
2.5.5 Washback.....	15

2.6	Items Analysis.....	15
2.6.1	The level of Difficulty.....	15
2.6.2	Discrimination Power.....	16
2.7	The Previous Study	17
CHAPTER III RESEARCH METHODOLOGY		19
3.1	Research Design.....	19
3.2	Place and Time of the Research.....	20
3.3	Population and Sample	20
3.4	Object of the Study	21
3.5	Research Instrument.....	21
3.5.1	Curriculum Checklist	22
3.5.2	Characteristics and Criteria of Good Assessment Checklist.....	22
3.5.3	Ms. Excel of Analysis's Application	22
3.5.4	SPSS Version 16.0	23
3.6	Technique of Collecting Data	23
3.6.1	Observation	24
3.6.2	Paper Test Question	24
3.6.3	Students Answer Sheet.....	25
3.6.4	Students' Score	25
3.6.5	Data and Source of Data	25
3.7	Research Procedure.....	25
3.8	Technique of Data Analysis.....	27
3.8.1	Qualitative Data Analysis	27
3.8.1.1.	Analysis of the Appropriateness to Curriculum	27
3.8.1.2.	Analysis of the Characteristics of a Good Test.....	29
3.8.2	Quantitative Data Analysis	30
3.8.2.1	Items Difficulty Analysis	30
3.8.2.2	Discrimination Power Analysis	31
3.8.2.3	Analysis of Validity	34
CHAPTER IV RESULT AND DISCCUSION		37
4.1	The Result and Discussion of the Research.....	37
4.2	The Test Appropriateness Regarding to Curriculum and Syllabus	38

4.3	The Test Appropriateness toward Students Levels in ESL.....	46
4.4	Difficulty Levels of the Items Test.....	47
4.5	Discrimination Power of the Items Test.....	56
4.6	Validity of the Test.....	68
4.7	Reliability of the Test.....	84
CHAPTER V CONCLUSION & SUGGESTION		87
5.1	CONCLUSION	87
5.2	SUGGESTION.....	89
REFERENCES		91

THE LISTS OF TABLES

		Pages
Table 1	Table 3.7 the Research Procedure	26
Table 2	Table 3.8.1.1 The Curriculum Checklist	28
Table 3	Table 3.8.1.2 Good Assessment Checklist	29
Table 4	Table 3.8.2.1 Index of Difficulty Levels	31
Table 5	Table 3.8.2.2 Rose & Stanley of Items	32
Table 6	Table 3.8.2.2 the Items Test Discrimination Power of the Index (Ross & Stanley)	33
Table 7	Table 4.2 The Result of Test Appropriateness Regarding To Basic Competence	39
Table 8	Table 4.3 The Result of Test Appropriateness Toward students Levels	46
Table 9	Table 4.4 The Result of Difficult Level in the A Sample (AS)	48
Table 10	Appendix 3 - Table 4.4 The Result of Difficulty Levels in the B Sample (BS)	51
Table 11	Table 4.4 The Result of Difficulty Levels in the C Sample (CS)	54
Table 12	Table 4.5 The Result Students' Group of the First Sample (AS)	57
Table 13	Table 4.5 The Result Discrimination Power of the A Sample (AS)	59
Table 14	Table 4.5.3 the Result Students' Group of B Sample (BS)	61
Table 15	Table 4.5.4 The Result of Discrimination Power of B Sample (BS)	62
Table 16	Table 4.5.5 The Result Students' Group of The C Sample (CS)	64
Table 17	Table 4.5.6 The Result Discrimination Power of The C Sample (CS)	66
Table 18	Table 4.6 The Result of Validity Test in The A Sample (CS)	69
Table 19	Table 4.6 The First Result Validity Test of the B Sample (BS)	72
Table 20	Table 4.6 The Second Result Validity Test of the B Sample (BS)	74
Table 21	Table 4.6 The Third Result Validity Test of the B Sample (BS)	76
Table 22	Table 4.6 The Last Result Validity Test of the B Sample (BS)	77

Table 23	Table 4.6 The First Result Validity Test of the C Sample (CS).....	79
Table 24	Table 4.6 The Second Result Validity Test of the C Sample (CS)	81
Table 25	Table 4.6 The Third Result Validity Test of the C Sample (CS)	83

THE LISTS OF FIGURES

	Pages
Figure 1	Figure 4.4 the Result of Difficulty Level in the A Sample (AS)50
Figure 2	Figure 4.4 The Result of Difficulty Levels in the B Sample (BS)53
Figure 3	Figure 4.4.3 The Result of Difficulty Levels in the C Sample (CS) ...56
Figure 4	Figure 4.6 The Result of Validity Test in the A Sample (AS)71
Figure 5	Figure 4.6 The First Result Validity Test of the B Sample (BS)73
Figure 6	Figure 4.6 The Second Validity Test of the B Sample (BS)75
Figure 7	Figure 4.6 The Third Validity Test of the B Sample (BS)77
Figure 8	Figure 4.6 The Last Result Validity Test of the B Sample (BS)78
Figure 9	Figure 4.6 The First Result Validity Test of the C Sample (CS)81
Figure 10	Figure 4.6 The Second Result Validity Test of the C Sample (CS)82
Figure 11	Figure 4.6 The Second Result Validity Test of the C Sample (CS)83

THE LIST OF APPENDICES

	Pages
Appendix 1 The Paper Test Question	94
Appendix 2 The Keys Answer.....	97
Appendix 3 The Result of The Test appropriateness Regarding to Basic Competence.....	98
Appendix 4 The Result of The Test appropriateness toward Students Levels	103
Appendix 5 DATA A SAMPLE (AS).....	104
Appendix 6 The B Sample (BS)	113
Appendix 7 The C Sample (CS)	122
Appendix 8 The Result of Validity Test.....	131
Appendix 9 The Result of Reliability Test	143
Appendix 10 Berita Acara Bimbingan Skripsi	144
Appendix 11 Surat Keterangan Penelitian Sekolah 1	145
Appendix 12 Surat Keterangan Penelitian Sekolah 2.....	146
Appendix 13 Surat Keterangan Penelitian Sekolah 3.....	147
Appendix 14 LATTICEWORK OF THE TEST	148
Appendix 15 R Table.....	153
Appendix 16 INDEX OF DIFFICULTY LEVELS IN ITEMS TEST (ROSS & STANLEY).....	158
Appendix 17 ENDORSEMENT LETTER.....	159
Appendix 18 PESETUJUAN REVISI.....	160

REFERENCES

- Brown, H. Douglas. 2004. *Language Assessment: Principles and Classroom Practices*. San Francisco: Logman Inc.
- Creswell, Jhon W. 2012. *Educational Research: Planning, Conducting, and Evaluating Quantitative and Qualitative Research*. PEARSON.
- Creswell, John W. 2014. *Research Design: Qualitative, Quantitative, and Mixed Methods Approaches*. SAGE Publication.
- Cunningsworth, Alan. 2008. *Choosing Your Coursebook*. Thailand: Macmillan Publishers Limited
- Darling-Hammond, et al. 2013. *Criteria for High-Quality Assessment*. June. Chicago: Stanford Center for Opportunity Policy in Education, Stanford University.
- Departemen Pendidikan Nasional Republik Indonesia. 2008. *Standar Pengembangan Kelompok Kerja Guru (KKG) Musyawarah Guru Mata Pelajaran (MGMP)*.
- Fulcher, Glenn and Fred Davidsion. 2017. *Language Testing and Assessment*. London and New York: Routledge.
- Heaton, J. Brian. 1990. *Writing English Language Tests*. New York: Longman Inc.

Heywood, John. 2005. *Engineering Education Research and Development in Curriculum and Instruction*. The United States of America: IEEE Press

Huges, Arthur. 2003. *Testing for Language Teachers*. UK: Cambridge University Press.

Junaidi. 2010. Table r (Koefisien Korelasi Sederhana) $df = 1 - 200$.
<http://junaidichaniago.wordpress.com>

KEMENDIKBUD. 2016. *Silabus Mata Pelajaran Sekolah Menengah Pertama / Madrasah Tsanawiyah (SMP/MTs) Mata Pelajaran Bahasa Inggris*. Jakarta

Mertens, Donna M. 2010. *Research and Evaluation in Education and Psychology*. UK: SAGE Publications, Inc.

Newble, David and Robbert Cannon. 2000. *A Handbook for Medical Teachers*. UK: Kluwer Academic Publhisers.

Nunan, David. 1989. *Designing Tasks for the Communicative Classroom*. New York: Cambridge University Press.

Pandey, Dr. Prabhat and Dr. Meenu Mishra Pandey. 2015. *Research Methodology: Tools and Techniques*. Romania: Bridge Center.

PERMENDIKBUD No. 23/2016

PERMENDIKBUD No. 53/2015

PERMENDIKBUD No. 58, 2014

- Primadani, Arin Eka and Gunadi H. Sulisty. 2013. *An Analysis of A Midterm English Test of The Seventh Grade Accelerated Class At SMPN 3 Malang*. English Language Education. Vol. 3/1 (p: 1-11).
- Priyanto, D. (2008). *Mandiri Belanja SPSS (Statistical Product and Service Solution) untuk Analisa Data dan Uji Statistik*. Yogyakarta: Mediakom
- Richards, Jack. C. 1995. *The Labuage Teaching Matrix*. The United States of America: Cambridge University Press.
- Saputra, Reza Wisnu. 2015. *The Comparison between the Second Mid-term English Tests for the Seventh Grades Made By the State and Private School Certified English Teachers*. Journal of English Language Teaching. August. Vol. 4/1 (p: 1-5).
- Sindelar, Ph.D., Nancy W. 2015. *Teaching and Testing: Steps for Using Test Data as a Tool for Teaching and Learning*. Appersontm.
- Sudjana, DR. Nana. 2011. *Penilaian Hasil Proses Belajar Mengajar*. Bandung: Remaja Rosdakarya, Pt.
- Sugiyono, P. D. 2015. *Metode Penelitian Kualitatif, Kuantitatif Dan R&D*. Bandung: Alfabeta.
- Yoneda, Mitaka. 2012. *Designing Assessment Tools: the Principles of Language Assessment*. Japan: Department of English Communication. Vol. 60 (p: 41-49).