Formatted: Font color: Orange

umsurabaya

Universitas Muhammadiyah Surabaya

SKRIPSI

THE APPLICATION OF METACOGNITIVE STRATEGY IN LEARNING SPEAKING OF HIGH, AVERAGE, AND LOW LEVEL STUDENT IN SMA MUHAMMADIYAH 2 OF SURABAYA

AS AMARIZULHAQ
NIM: 2012.1111.048

THE ADVISORS

GUSTI NUR HAFIFAH, S.Pd. M.Pd.

VEGA HESMATANTYA, S.Pd., M.Pd

ENGLISH EDUCATION DEPARTMENT

FACULTY OF EDUCATION AND TEACHER TRAINING

MUHAMMADIYAH UNIVERSITY OF SURABAYA

2016

THE APPLICATION OF METACOGNITIVE STRATEGY IN LEARNING SPEAKING OF HIGH, AVERAGE, AND LOW LEVEL STUDENT IN SMA MUHAMMADIYAH 2 OF SURABAYA

SKRIPSI

Submitted in a Partial Fulfillment of Requirements for S-1 Degree in English

Department of Teacher Training and Education Faculty

BY:

AS AMARIZULHAQ
20121111048

ENGLISH DEPARTMENT
TEACHER TRAINING AND EDUCATION FACULTY
MUHAMMADIYAH UNIVERSITY OF SURABAYA
2016

THE APPLICATION OF METACOGNITIVE STRATEGY IN LEARNING SPEAKING OF HIGH, AVERAGE, AND LOW LEVEL STUDENT IN SMA MUHAMMADIYAH 2 OF SURABAYA

SKRIPSI

Submitted in a Partial Fulfillment of Requirements for S-1 Degree in English

Department of Teacher Training and Education Faculty

BY:

AS AMARIZULHAQ
20121111048

ENGLISH DEPARTMENT
TEACHER TRAINING AND EDUCATION FACULTY
MUHAMMADIYAH UNIVERSITY OF SURABAYA
2016

PERNYATAAN TIDAK MELAKUKAN PLAGIAT

Saya yang bertanda tangan di bawah ini:

Nama : AS AMARIZULHAQ

NIM : 20121111048

Pogram Studi : Bahasa Inggris

Fakultas : Fakultas Keguruan dan Ilmu Pendidikan

Menyatakan dengan sebenarna bahwa skripsi yang saya tulis ini benar-benar merupakan hasil karya saya sendiri, bukan merupakan pengambilalihan tulisan atau pikiran orang lain yang saya akui sebagai hasil tulisan atau pikiran saya sendiri.

Apabila kemudian hari terbukti atau dapat dibuktikan bahwa skripsi ini hasil jiplakan, maka saya bersedia menerima sanksi atas perbuatan tersebut sesuai peraturan yang berlaku.

Surabaya, 08 Agustus 2016

Yang Membuat pernyataan,

(As Amarizulhaq)

Motto:

”Do not ever waste your time, because it will not come back to you”.

Dedication:

”This dedication for both of my parents, my mother and father who always support me whatever I do. Thank you so much”.

Halaman Persetujuan Pembimbing

Skripsi yang ditulis As Amarizulhaq ini telah disetujui oleh dosen pembimbing untuk diujikan tanggal 16 Agustus 2016.

	Dosen Pembimbing	Tanda Tanga	Tanggal
I.	Gusti Nur Hafifah, S.Pd. M.Pd.	…….	……
II.	Vega Hesmatantya, S.Pd. M.Pd.	…….	…….

Mengetahui:

Ketua Program Studi Pendidikan Bahasa dan Sastra Inggris,

Sulton Dedy Wijaya, S.Pd.

Halaman Pengesahan Penguji

Skripsi ini yang ditulis oleh As Amarizulhaq telah diuji dan dinyatakan sah oleh Panitia Ujian Tingkat Sarjana (S1) Fakultas Keguruan dan Ilmu Pendidikan Universitas Muhammadiyah Surabaya sebagai salah satu syarat memperoleh gelar Sarjana Pendidikan, pada tanggal 16 Agustus 2016.

	Dosen Penguji	Tanda Tangan	Tanggal
I.	Gusti Nur Hafifah, S.Pd, M.Pd.	…….	……..
II.	Dr. Dwijani Ratnadewi, M.Pd.	……	……
III.	Sofi Yunianti, S.Pd, M.Pd.	…….	……

Mengetahui,
Fakultas Keguruan dan Ilmu Pendidikan
Universitas Muhammadiyah Surabaya,
Dekan,

Dr. M. Ridlwan, M.Pd.

ACKNOWLEDEMENT

All praises to Allah SWT who has provided grace for his guidence and blessing and greeting are due to our prophet Muhammadiyah SAW, hope Allah always bestows His guidences and blessings to him, his family, companions and His fellows who always istiqomah in the way of Allah, so the author is given the opportunity to be able to finish this research on time. This study entitled The Application of Metacognitive Strategy in Learning Speaking of High, Average, and Low Level Student in SMA Muhammadiyah 2 at Surabaya is structured to fulfill the requirements obtained a degree of English Program in Teacher Training and Education Faculty, Muhammadiyah University of Surabaya.

To be able finish this research, the author gets lots of help and support from all of people surround who provide morally and materially. For that, no words can express my pleasure except thank you, especially to:

1. DR. Dr. Sukadiono, M.M. as Rector of Muhammadiyah University of Surabaya.
2. Dr. M. Ridlwan, M.Pd, as Dean of Teacher Training and Education Faculty, Muhammadiyah University of Surabaya.
3. Mr. Sulton Dedy Wijaya, S.Pd. as Chairperson of English Program.
4. Mrs. Gusti Nur Hafifah, S.Pd. M.Pd. and Miss. Vega Hesmatantya, S.Pd. M.Pd. as my Advisors of English Program who guide me during make this thesis. They help me with all the time with their passion without hesitate. Hope, Allah give them long-life and blessings all the time.
5. Mr. and Mrs. Lecturer of English Teaching and Education Department who taught the author so many things.
6. All of my friends and others who could not I say it one by one in this thesis.

Through this research that had been settled as perfect as possible. Hopefully, this thesis could give so many benefits to the readers, for all of education world and could give contribute to whoever need. So, we hope critics and suggestions from all the readers which are really need to improve this research in the future.

Surabaya, 08 Agustus 2016

As Amarizulhaq

NIM 20121111048

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page

Cover i
Halaman Judul..... ii
Motto and Dedication……..... iii
Lembar Persetujuan Pembimbing……... iv
Lembar Pengesahan Penguji v
Pernyataan Keaslian Tulisan……... vi
Abstract…… vii
Acknowledgement……..... viii
Table of Contents…….. ix
List of Tables …….. xi
List of Figures……. xii
List of Appendix……. xiii

CHAPTER I INTRODUCTION……..... 1

1.1. Background of the Study…….…….. 1
1.2. Research Questions…….…… 4
1.3. Purpose of the Study…….…... 4
1.4. Scope and Limitation of the Study……..... 5
1.5. Significant of the Study……..…. 5
1.6. Definition of Key Terms…….…… 6

CHAPTER II LITERATURE REVIEW…… 7

2.1. Language Learning Strategies…….. 7
2.1.1. Factors of Language Strategy……..... 7
2.1.2. The Comparison of Teaching Strategies and Learning Strategies 8
2.2. Learning Speaking……..…… 8
2.2.1. Definition of Speaking…..... 9
2.3. Metacognitive Learning Strategies…….. 12
2.3.1. The Definition of Metacognitive…… 13
2.4. English Learners.……. 15
2.4.1. High Level Learner……. 15
2.4.2. Average Level Learner…….. 15
2.4.3. Low Level Learner……..... 16
2.5. Previous Study……. 16

CHAPTER III RESEARCH METHODOLOGY……..… 19

3.1. Design of the Research…… 19
3.2. Subject and Data of the Research……..... 19
3.2.1. High Level Learner……. 19
3.2.2. Average Level Learner…… 21
3.2.3. Low Level Learner……..... 22

3.3. Instruments of the Research……..... 23
3.4. Data Collection techniques…….. 24
3.5. Data Analysis……..... . 26

CHAPTER IV FINDINGS AND DISCUSSIONS……..... 27

4.1. Findings…… 27
4.1.1. Strategy used by High Level Student of Speaking Skill in Four Main Representative's Metacognitive Strategy ……... 28
4.1.1.1. Selective Attention…….. 29
4.1.1.2. Planning……... 30
4.1.1.3. Monitoring……... 31
4.1.1.4. Evaluation……..... 32
4.1.2. Strategy used by Average Level Student of Speaking Skill in Four Main Representative's Metacognitive Strategy …… . 34
4.1.2.1. Selective Attention…….. 35
4.1.2.2. Planning……... 35
4.1.2.3. Monitoring……... 37
4.1.2.4. Evaluation……..... 37
4.1.3. Strategy used by Low Level Student of Speaking Skill in Four Main Representative's Metacognitive Strategy……... 39
4.1.3.1. Selective Attention…….. 39
4.1.3.2. Planning……... 40
4.1.3.3. Monitoring……... 41
4.1.3.4. Evaluation……..... 41
4.2. Discussions…….. 43

CHAPTER V CONCUSION AND SUGGESTIONS…….. 51

5.1. Conclusion……... 51
5.2. Suggestions……... 52
5.2.1. To the Other English Learners…… . 52
5.2.2. To English Teachers…….. 52
5.2.3. To Parents……..... 52
5.2.4. To the Further Researcher…… 53

Bibliography…… 54
List of Appendix..... 57
Biography…… 106

LIST OF TABLES

Table 4.1.1 the percentage of High Level Learner Metacognitive Learning Speaking

Table 4.1.2 the percentage of Average Level Learner Metacognitive Learning Speaking

Table 4.1.3 the percentage of Low Level Learner Metacognitive Learning Speaking

Table 4.1.4 High Level Learner Metacognitive Learning Speaking

Table 4.1.5 Average Level Learner Metacognitive Learning Speaking

Table 4.1.6 Low Level Learner Metacognitive Learning Speaking Questionnaire

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 4.2.1 Chart of High level learner Metacognitive learning speaking.

Figure 4.2.2 Chart of Average level learner Metacognitive learning speaking.

Figure 4.2.3 Chart of Low level learner Metacognitive learning speaking.

LIST OF APPENDIX

Appendix 1	List of Questions for Interview……	57
Appendix 2	Transcription of Teacher Interview……	59
Appendix 3	Transcription of High Learner Interview……	67
Appendix 4	Transcription of Average Level Transcription of Low Level Learner Interview……	72
Appendix 5	Transcription of Low Level Learner Interview……	78
Appendix 6	Transcription of Questionnaire's Instruction……	83
Appendix 7	Metacognitive Learning Speaking Questionnaire High Learner (HL)……	84
Appendix 8	Metacognitive Learning Speaking Questionnaire Average Learner (AL)…….	88
Appendix 9	Metacognitive Learning Speaking Questionnaire Low Learner (LL)……	92
Appendix 10	Transcription of High Level Learner Speech……	96
Appendix 11	Transcription of Average Level Learner Speech……..	97
Appendix 12	Transcription of Low Level Learner Speech……	98
Appendix 13	The Result of Metacognitive Strategy of High Level Learner..	99
Appendix 14	The Result of Metacognitive Strategy of High Level Learner..	100
Appendix 15	The Result of Metacognitive Strategy of High Level Learner..	101
Appendix 16	The Application of Learning Strategy……...	102
Appendix 17	Metacognitive Strategies List for Students……	103
Appendix 18	Research Letter……..	104
Appendix 19	Recommendation Letter……	105

REFERENCES

Berne, J. E. (1998). Examining the relationship between L2 listening research, pedagogical theory, and practice. *Foreign Language Annals, 31*(2), 169-90.

Berne, J. E. (2004). Listening comprehension strategies: A review of the literature. *Foreign Language Annals, 37*(4), 521-531.

Cahyani, H. 2010. *A Profile of Successful English Language Learners. A Case Study of Students at Accounting Department, State Polytechnic of Malang*. Paper presented in the 2nd National English Language Teachers and Lectures Conference, State University of Malang, Indonesia. March 20, 2010.

Chamot, A.U. (2004). Issues in language learning strategy research and teaching. *Electronic Journal of Foreign Language Teaching, 1*(1), 14-26.

Chamot, A. U., Barnhardt, S., El-Dinary, P. B., & Robbins, J. (1999). *The learning strategies handbook*. White Plains, NY: Addison Wesley Longman.

Chen, Y. (2005). Barriers to acquiring listening strategies for EFL learners and their pedagogical applications. *TESL-EJ,8*(4), Retrieved December 19, 2015 from

Cohen, A. D. (1998). *Strategies in learning and using a second language*. London: Longman.

Creswell, J. W. (2009). *Research design: Qualitative, quantitative, and mixed methods approaches* (3rd ed.). Los Angeles, CA: Sage.

Defining and Organizing Language Learning Strategies.2015. Retrieved December 19, 2015 from.*www.nclrc.org/guides/HED/pdfs/chapter2.pdf.*

Educational Testing Service. (2012). Secondary level English proficiency test. Retrieved December 19, 2015 from http://www.ets.org/slep/about

Field, J. (1998). Skills and strategies: Towards a new methodology for listening. *ELT Journal, 52*(2), 110-18.

Field, J. (1999). Key concepts in ELT: "bottom-up" and "top-down." *ELT Journal, 53*(4), 338-339.

Field, J. (2008). *Listening in the language classroom.* Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Fitts, P. M. (1964). Perceptual-motor skill learning. In A.W. Melton (Eds.), *Categories* of *human learning* (p. 243-285). New York: Academic Press.

Flowerdew, J., & Miller, L. (1992). Student perceptions, problems and strategies in second language lecture comprehension. *RELC Journal*, *23*(2), 60–80.

Goh, C. (2008). Metacognitive instruction for second language listening development theory, practice and research implications. *RELC Journal*, *39*(2), 188–213.

Hinkel, E. (2006). Current perspectives on teaching the four skills. *TESOL Quarterly 40*(1), 109-131.

Hornby, Albert S. 2005. *Oxford Advanced Learner's Dictionary of Current English (7th ed.)*. New York: Oxford University Press.

Intersegmental Committee of Academic Senates. (2006). *ESL Students in California Public Higher Education. ICAS ESL Task Force Report*.

Lawes, S. & Santos, D. (2007). Teaching learning strategies: What do teachers learn? *Language Learning Journal, 35,* 221-237.

Metacognitive Awareness Listening Questionnaire (MALQ).2015. Retrieved December 19, 2015 from https://larc.sdsu.edu/larryvandergrift/6metacognitivequestionnaire.pdf

Nunan, David. 2003. *Practical English Languag Teaching, 1st edition*. New York: Mc Graw-Hill Companies.

Nunan, David. 1999. *Second Language Teaching and Learning*. Boston: Heinle&Heinle.

O'Malley, J. M., Chamot, A. U., & Kupper, K. (1989). Listening Comprehension strategies in second language acquisition. *Applied Linguistics, 10*(4), 418-437.

Oxford, Rebecca L. 1990. *Language Learning Strategies. What Every Teacher Should Know.* Boston: Heinle&Heinle.

Oxford, R. L. (1990). *Language learning strategies: What every teacher should know*. New York: Newbury House.

Oxford, R. L. (1993). Research update on teaching L2 listening. *System, 21*(2), 205-211.

Oxford, R. L. (2002). Language learning strategies in a nutshell: Update and ESL suggestions. *Methodology in language teaching*, 124–132.

Oxford, R. L., & Burry-Stock, J.A. (1995). Assessing the use of language learning strategies worldwide with the ESL/EFL version of the strategy inventory for language learning (SILL). *System, 23*(1), 1-23.

Oxford, R.L., Crookall, D., Cohen, A., Lavine, R., Nyikos, M., and Sutter, W. (1990). Strategy training for language learners: Six situational case studies and a training model. *Foreign Language Annals, 22*(3), 197-216.

Richards, J. & Schmidt, R. W. (2010). *Longman dictionary of language teaching and applied linguistics.* Pearson Education Limited.

Shen, H. J. (2003). The role of explicit instruction in ESL/EFL reading. *Foreign Language Annals, 36*(3), 424–433.

Singaporean Students' Awareness of Listening and Speaking Strategies. *Language Awareness*, *15*(3), 199–219.

The ACTFL Proficiency Guidelines 2012 Speaking.2016. Retrieved May 13 2016 form http://www.actfl.org/sites/default/files/pdfs/public/ACTFLProficiencyGuidelines2012_FINAL.pdf

Vandergrift, L. (1997). The comprehension strategies of second language (French) listeners: A descriptive study. *Foreign Language Annals, 30*(3), 387-409.

Vandergrift, L. (2002). 'It was nice to see that our predictions were right': Developing

Vandergrift, L. (2004). Listening to learn or learn to listen? *Annual Review of Applied Linguistics, 24*, 3-25.

Vandergrift, L. (2007). Recent developments in second and foreign language listening comprehension research. *Language Teaching*, *40*(3), 191–210.

Widiati, U. and Cahyono, B. Y. 2006. "The Teaching EFL Speaking in the Indonesian Context: The State of Art". Bahasa dan Seni, 34 (2): 269-291.