



umsurabaya

Muhammadiyah University of Surabaya

A THESIS

**THE EFFECTIVENESS PAUL'S WHEEL TO INCREASE
STUDENTS'S CRITICAL THINKING IN READING
EXPLANATION TEXT FOR TEN GRADER MUHAMMADIYAH
2 SENIOR HIGH SCHOOL OF SURABAYA**

AJENG KURNIASARI

NIM. 20131111002

THE ADVISORS

Gusti Nur Hafifah, M.Pd.

Vega Hesmatantya, S.Pd., M.Pd.

ENGLISH EDUCATION DEPARTMENT

FACULTY OF EDUCATION AND TEACHER TRAINING

MUHAMMADIYAH UNIVERSITY OF SURABAYA

2017

**THE EFFECTIVENESS PAUL'S WHEEL TO INCREASE STUDENT'S CRITICAL
THINKING IN READING EXPLANATION TEXT FOR TEN GRADER
MUHAMMADIYAH 2 SENIOR HIGH SCHOOL OF SURABAYA**

THESIS

**Presented to
Muhammadiyah University of Surabayain Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for
the Degree of Sarjana Pendidikan in English Language Education Program**

**AJENG KURNIASARI
NIM. 20131111002**

**ENGLISH DEPARTEMENT
FACULTY OF TEACHER TRAINING AND EDUCATION
MUHAMMADIYAH UNIVERSITY OF SURABAYA**

2017

**THE EFFECTIVENESS PAUL'S WHEEL TO INCREASE STUDENT'S CRITICAL
THINKING IN READING EXPLANATION TEXT FOR TEN GRADER
MUHAMMADIYAH 2 SENIOR HIGH SCHOOL OF SURABAYA**

SKRIPSI

**Diajukan untuk Memenuhi Salah Satu Syarat
Memperoleh Gelar SARJANA PENDIDIKAN**

**AJENG KURNIASARI
NIM. 20131111002**

**PROGRAM STUDI PENDIDIKAN BAHASA INGGRIS
FAKULTAS KEGURUAN DAN ILMU PENDIDIKAN
UNIVERSITAS MUHAMMADIYAH SURABAYA**

2017

MOTTO AND DEDICATION

MOTTO :

Everythings happen because a reason

Be Grateful

DEDICATION :

My beloved parents,

And my sister

HALAMAN PERSETUJUAN PEMBIMBING

Skripsi yang ditulis oleh Ajeng Kurniasari ini telah disetujui oleh Dosen Pembimbing untuk diujikan pada tanggal : 4 Agustus 2017

Dosen Pembimbing	Tanda Tangan	Tanggal
I. Gusti Nur Hafifah, M.Pd.
II. Vega Hesmatantya S.Pd, M.Pd.

Mengetahui,
Ketua Program Pendidikan Bahasa Inggris

Drs. H. Wijayadi, M.Pd.

HALAMAN PENGESAHAN PANITIA UJIAN

Skripsi ini telah diuji dan dinyatakan sah oleh Panitia Ujian Tingkat Sarjana (S1) Fakultas Keguruan dan Ilmu Pendidikan Universitas Muhammadiyah Surabaya sebagai salah satu syarat memperoleh gelar SARJANA PENDIDIKAN, pada tanggal 4 Agustus 2017

Dosen Penguji	Tanda Tangan	Tanggal
1. Gusti Nur Hafifah, S.Pd, M.Pd
2. Sulton Dedi Wijaya, M.Pd
3. Linda Mayasari, S.Pd, M,Pd

Mengetahui

Fakultas Keguruan dan Ilmu Pendidikan

Universitas Muhammadiyah Surabaya

Dekan,

Endah Hendarwati, S.E, M.Pd.

PERNYATAAN TIDAK MELAKUKAN PLAGIAT

Saya yang bertanda tangan di bawah ini:

Nama : Ajeng Kurniasari
NIM : 20131111002
Fakultas : Fakultas Keguruan dan Ilmu Pengetahuan
Program Studi : Pendidikan Bahasa Inggris

Menyatakan bahwa Skripsi/KTI/Tesis yang saya tulis ini benar-benar tulisan karya sendiri bukan hasil plagiasi, baik sebagian maupun keseluruhan. Bila dikemudian hari terbukti hasil plagiasi, maka saya bersedia menerima sanksi akademik sesuai ketentuan yang berlaku di Universitas Muhammadiyah Surabaya.

Surabaya, 31 Juli 2017

Yang membuat pernyataan,

Ajeng Kurniasari
(20131111002)

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Firstly, all praises and gratitude are to Allah SWT for blessing, strength, and guidance. *Sholawat* and *salam* are dedicated to my beloved prophet Muhammad SAW.

The researcher realizes that he would not be able to finish this final report without support from many people. The researcher would like to deliver deepest gratitude to :

1. Drs. H. Wijayadi M.Pd as the Head of English Department Program.
2. Gusti Nur Hafifah, S.Pd., M.Pd as first advisor. A great thanks for your time, advices, constructives, supports, and patience.
3. Vega Hesmatantya, S.Pd., M.Pd as second advisor. A great thanks for your contributions, support, and advices.
4. All lectures of the English Department who have taught the worthy knowledge as a preparation for the future and improvement in her ability.
5. Astajab, S.Pd., M.M as Head of Muhammadiyah 2 Senior High School, Rimba Ayu S. Hum as English teacher, All members of Mipa 1, 2, 8 who are also give supports, contribution, and opportunity to do the research.
6. My beloved parents (Ibunda Marsani and Ayahanda Nasiyono) who always love, pray, support and also motivate me during my study until completing this thesis.
7. My beloved sister (Putri Permatasari) who always cheer up my days
8. My best friend Dwi Putri Marshella, Ratih Masruroh, Nur Suci Romadloni who remain still as a unity to stand up until now.
9. All my students who always give spirit and motivate my days
10. All the members of English Department, especially (Encik, Annisa, Dwi, Wahyu, Muhin, Tenny, Fanda and other friends especially for eight semester students(Ciee Class). Thanks for the togetherness.
11. All people whose names could not be mentioned one by one. Thank you for everything.

Finally, the writer realizes that the research is far from being perfect. The researcher welcomes any endorsing suggestions and critiques. At least, the researcher greatly expects that this research will be useful and able to give contribution for the academic study and future research.

Surabaya, 22 July 2017

Ajeng Kurniasari

NIM. 20131111002

TABLE OF CONTENTS

HALAMAN SAMPUL.....	
HALAMAN LOGO	
HALAMAN JUDUL	
MOTTO AND DEDICATION	
LEMBAR PERSETUJUAN	
LEMBAR PENGESAHAN	
ABSTRACT.....	i
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS.....	ii
TABLE OF CONTENTS.....	iv
LIST OF TABLES	vii
LIST OF FIGURES	viii
LIST OF CHARTS	ix
LIST OF APPENDICES	x
CHAPTER I INTRODUCTION	
1.1 Background of The Research.....	1
1.2 Statements of The Problems	3
1.3 Hypothesis.....	3
1.4 Objective of The Research	4
1.5 Scope and Limitation of The Research	4
1.6 Significance of The Research	4
1.7 Definition of Key Terms.....	5
CHAPTER II REVIEW AND RELATED LITERATURE.....	
2.1 Definition of Reading	6
2.2 The Reading Process.....	8
2.3 Reading Comprehension	9
2.4 Strategies for Reading Comprehension	10
2.5 Teaching Reading	11
2.5.1 Principles for Teaching Reading	12
2.6 Explanation Text	13
2.6.1 Generic Structure of Explanation Text	14

2.6.2 Grammatical Features of Explanation Text	14
2.7 Nature of Paul’s Wheel Strategy	15
2.7.1 The Advantages of Paul’s Wheel Strategy	18
2.7.2 The Implementation of Paul’s Wheel Strategy	21
2.8 Critical Thinking Skill	
2.8.1 Definition of Critical Thinking Skill	22
2.8.2 Critical Thinking Process.....	24
2.8.3 Teaching Critical Thinking	26
2.8.4 Principle Critical Thinking	27
2.8.5 The Advantages Critical Thinking	27
2.9 Previous Study	28
CHAPTER III RESEARCH METHODOLOGY	
3.1 Research Design	30
3.2 Population and Sampling	32
3.2.1 Population	32
3.2.2 Sampling	32
3.3 Research Variables	33
3.4 Research Instruments	33
3.4.1 Pre-Test	34
3.4.2 Post Test.....	34
3.4.3 Paul’s Wheel of Reasoning Rubric	34
3.5 Research Procedure	35
3.5.1 The Procedure of Collecting Data	36
3.6 Data Collection Technique	37
3.7 Quantitative Data Analysis Technique	37
3.7.1 Normality Test	37
3.7.2 Homogeneity Test	38
3.7.3 Computing Mean	38
3.7.4 Computing Standard Deviation	38
3.7.5 T- Test	39
3.7.6 Validity and Reliability	39
3.7.6.1 Validity	40
3.7.6.1.1 Validity Point.....	42

3.7.6.2 Reliability	42
3.8 Qualitative Data Analysis Technique	43
CHAPTER IV FINDING AND DISCUSSION	
4.1 The Effectiveness of Paul’s Wheel Strategy in Increasing Students’ Critical Thinking in Reading Explanation Text	44
4.1.1 The Pre-test Score of Both Classes	45
4.1.2 The Post - Test Score of Both Classes	46
4.1.3 The Percentage Student’s Score Improvement of Passsing Grade	
4.1.4 Test Normality Distribution	48
4.1.4.1 Test of Normality Distribution of Both Classes (pre-test).....	49
4.1.4.2 Test of Normality Distribution of Both Classes (post-test)	50
4.1.5 Homogeneity Test	50
4.1.6 T - Test	51
4.2 How the Paul’s Wheel Strategy Enhances Student’s Critical Thinking in Reading Explanation Text	52
4.2.1 The Implementation of Paul’s Wheel Strategy	52
4.2.2 Aspect of Critical Thinking in Paul’s Wheel Strategy	54
4.2.3 The Analysis of Paul’s Wheel Strategy Enhances Student’s Critical Thinking in Reading Explanation Text.....	57
CHAPTER V CONCLUSION AND SUGGESTION	
5.1 Conclusion	65
5.2 Suggestion	66
References.....	

LIST OF TABLES

	Pages
Table 3.1 The Conducting Data Schedule	35
Table 3.2 The Result of Validity.....	40
Table 3.3 List of Validator.....	41
Table 4.1 Pre-Test's Score of Experimental and Control Class.....	45
Table 4.2 Post-Test's Score of Experimental and Control Class.....	46
Table 4.3 The numbers of student exceeding of Passing Grade in Pre-Test and Post-Test (experimental class)	47
Table 4.4 The number of student exceeding of passing grade in pre-test and post-test (controlled class).....	47
Table 4.5 The comparison percentage of Post-Test for controlled and Experimental class	48
Table 4.6 Result of Kolmogorov-Smirnov (K-S) 1	49
Table 4.7 Result of Kolmogorov-Smirnov (K-S) 2.....	50
Table 4.8 Test of Homogeneity Variances.....	50
Table 4.9 T- test.....	51

LIST OF FIGURES

	Pages
Figure 2.1 The Element of Thought.....	16
Figure 2.2 Intellectual Standard.....	18
Figure 2.3 Paul’s Wheel of Reasoning	22
Figure 2.5 Thinking Process	25
Figure 3.1 Explanatory Sequential Design Model.....	30
Figure 3.2 A Mixed Method Sequential Explanatory to measure and explore The Effectiveness Paul’s Wheel Strategy to Increase Students’ Critical Thinking in Reading Explanation text	31

LIST OF CHARTS

	Pages
Chart 4.1 The Impact of Critical Thinking Aspect Show in the Test Improvement (Percentage).....	55
Chart 4.2 The Impact of Critical Thinking Aspect Show in the Test Improvement (Pre and Post Test).....	60
Chart 4.3 The Improvement of Paul's Wheel Aspect	61

LIST OF APPENDICES

	Pages
Appendix 1 Lesson Plan (Experimental Class)	67
Appendix 2 Lesson Plan (Control Class).....	80
Appendix 3 Silabus Bhs.inggris Peminatan kelas 10.....	86
Appendix 4 Try - Out	91
Appendix 5 Pre - Test	93
Appendix 6 Explanation Text Material.....	95
Appendix 7 Post - Test.....	97
Appendix 8 Lembar Soal	99
Appendix 9 Kunci Jawaban	101
Appendix 10 List of Experimental Class.....	103
Appendix 11 List of Control Class	104
Appendix 12 Pre-Test's Score of Controlled Class	105
Appendix 13 Pre-Test's Score of Experimental Class	106
Appendix 14 Post-Test's Score of Controlled Class	107
Appendix 15 Post-Test's Score of Experimental Class	108
Appendix 16 Validity and Reliability Test.....	109
Appendix 17 T-Test.....	111
Appendix 18 Teacher Interview	112
Appendix 19 Observation Checklist.....	113
Appendix 20 Paul's Wheel OF Reasoning Rubric	114
Appendix 21 Transcribe of Introduction Activity	116
Appendix 22 Transcribe of Main Activity.....	119
Appendix 23 The Implementation of Paul's Wheel Strategy	121
Appendix 24 t- Table	123
Appendix 25 Table of Reliability Person Product Moment	124
Appendix 26 Pictures of Activities	125

REFERENCES :

- Ary, Donald, et.al. 2010. *Introduction to Research in Education*. Nelson Education, Ltd : Canada.
- Ambarwati, Mega, Desi,. 2014. *The Application of Socio-Drama Method in Teaching Reading*. Surabaya : Muhammadiyah University.
- Arikunto. 2012. *Dasar-dasar Evaluasi Pendidikan*. Jakarta: Bumi Aksara
- Bakhtiari, Sadegh. *Gobalization and Education: Challenges And Opportunities*. Iran: Isfahan University.
- Brown, H. Douglas. Second Edition. *Teaching by Principles : An Interactive Approach to Language Pedagogy* : Longman.
- Cohen, Martin. 2015. *Critical Thinking Skills for Dummies*. Chichester, West Sussex: John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.,
- Cotreel, Stella. 2005. *Critical Thinking Skill: Developing Effective Analysis and Argument*. New York : Palgrave Macmillan
- Creswell, W. John. Fourth Edition. *Educational Research : Planning, Conducting, and Evaluating Quantitative and Qualitative Research*. Lincoln: University of Nebraska.
- Eduviews. 2008. *Teaching in the 21st Century : A review of the issues and Changing Models in the Teaching Profession*. Washington, DC.
- Halpern, F, Diane. 2003. *Thought and Knowledge: An Introduction to Critical Thinking*. Lawrence Erlbaum Associates : London.
- Haris, R, Karen, Steve Graham 2007. *Teaching Reading Comprehension to Students with Learning Difficulties*. London: THE GUILFORD PRESS.
- Harmer, Jeremy. 1998. *How to Teach English: An Introduction to the practice of English Language Teaching*. Cambridge: Longman
- Johnson, P, Andrew. 2008. *Teaching reading and writing : A Guidebook for Tutoring and Remediating Students*. USA Rowman and Littlefield Education.
- Judge, Brenda, Patrick Jones, Elaine McCreery. 2009. *Critical Thinking Skills For Education Students*. Learning Matters. British.
- Knapp, Peter, Megan, Watkins. 2005. *Genre, Text, Grammar : Technologies for teaching and assesing writing*. Australia : University of New South Wales Press Ltd.
- Kumala, Sari, Suci. 2013. *The Effectiveness Of Using Semantic Mapping Strategy In Teaching Reading Comprehension Of Narrative Text*. Semarang : English Departement faculty of language and arts.

Jeanty, C, Guy. 2011. *Mix Methods Research of Adult Family Care Home Residents and Informal Caregivers*. USA: Carloz Albizu.

From : http://www.nova.edu/sss/QR/QR_16-3/jeanty.pdf

Moon, Jennifer. 2008. *Critical Thinking : An exploration of theory and practice* .London and New York : Routledge.

Novita, Dian. 2010. *Collaborative Strategic Reading (CSR) for Teaching Reading Comprehension Text*. Sidoarjo: Muhammadiyah University of Sidoarjo.

Oakhil, Jane, Kate, Cain and Carsten Elbero. 2015. *Understanding and Teaching Reading Comprehension*. London and New York : Routledge.

Paul,Richard, and Linda Elder. 2008. *The Miniature Guide to Critical Thinking Concept and Tools*. Barkely: University of California.

Pollard, Lucy. 2008. *Guide To Teaching English: A book to help you through your first two years in teaching*.

Putri. Laila. 2013. *Teaching Reading Comprehension Explanation Text By using Combining of Think Pair Share and Jigsaw Strategies*. Sumatra Barat: STKIP PGRI.

Sudarwati,Th,M, Eudia Grace. 2014. *Pathway to English : Program Peminatan* . Jakarta: Erlangga.

Supranata, Sumarna. 2009. *Analisis, validitis, reabilitis, dan interpretasi hasil tes*.Jakarta : Bumi Aksara

Scanlan, J. Stephen. 2006. *The Effect of Richard Paul's Universal Elements and Standards of Reasoning on Twelfth Grade Composition*. San Diego : Alliant International University

Spiller,Dorothy, Pip Bruce Ferguson.2011.*Teaching Strategies to Promote the Development of Students' Learning Skills* : Teaching Development : The University Of Waikato.

Thompson, Angela, et.al.2012. *Engaging Freshman Engineers Using The Paul'sElder Model of Critical Thinking*. American Society for Enginnering Education.