Betty Ariani

Experimental Analysis of the Influence of a Compressed Natural Gas (CNG) - Air Mixer on Performance and Emissions i...

📋 Quick Submit

Quick Submit

🗢 🛛 Universitas Muhammadiyah Surabaya

Document Details

Submission ID trn:oid:::1:3287065783

Submission Date Jun 29, 2025, 8:10 PM GMT+7

Download Date Jun 29, 2025, 8:14 PM GMT+7

File Name jurnal_automotive_Q3_luaran_3.pdf

File Size

1.2 MB



5,870 Words

31,767 Characters



11% Overall Similarity

The combined total of all matches, including overlapping sources, for each database.

Filtered from the Report

- Bibliography
- Quoted Text
- Cited Text
- Small Matches (less than 8 words)

Exclusions

3 Excluded Sources

Match Groups

- 42 Not Cited or Quoted 11% Matches with neither in-text citation nor quotation marks
- •• 0 Missing Quotations 0% Matches that are still very similar to source material
- 0 Missing Citation 0%
 Matches that have quotation marks, but no in-text citation
- O Cited and Quoted 0%
 Matches with in-text citation present, but no quotation marks

Top Sources

- 11% 🔳 Publications
- 0% **L** Submitted works (Student Papers)

Integrity Flags

0 Integrity Flags for Review

No suspicious text manipulations found.

Our system's algorithms look deeply at a document for any inconsistencies that would set it apart from a normal submission. If we notice something strange, we flag it for you to review.

A Flag is not necessarily an indicator of a problem. However, we'd recommend you focus your attention there for further review.

Match Groups

Page 3 of 17 - Integrity Overview

न turnitin

42 Not Cited or Quoted 11% Matches with peither in-text citation nor quotation marks	0% Internet sources
O Missing Quotations 0% Matches that are still very similar to source material	11% III Publications0% Submitted works (Student Papers)
 Matches that are still very similar to source matchai Missing Citation 0% Matches that have quotation marks, but as in text situation 	
 O Cited and Quoted 0% Matches with in-text citation present, but no quotation marks 	
Top Sources The sources with the highest number of matches within the submission. Ov	verlapping sources will not be displayed.
Dublication	
Ragil Sukarno, Agung Premono, Yohanes Gunawan, Apri Wiyon	o. Ahmad Lubi. "F 1%
······································	
2 Publication	
De, B., and R.S. Panua. "An experimental investigation of the pe	erformance and e 1%
2 Publication	
Reniana Reniana Darma Darma Paulus Pavung "Analysis of Ai	ir Distribution in a <1%
4 Publication	
Wawan Purwanto, Hasan Maksum, Ahmad Arif, Muhammad Lat	tifur Rochman, Suj <1%
Dublication	
Budi Santoso, Agus Sartomo, Ubaidillah Ubaidillah, Oki Muraza	Filiza Tri Mahara <1%
6 Publication	
Dori Yuvenda, Bambang Sudarmanta, Arif Wahjudi, Oki Muraza	a. "Improved comb <1%
7 Dublication	
M Pable Abd Elwabab Abmad O Measa Walcod Eckry Earls	
M. Rubie Abu - Liwanab, Anniau O. Modaž, Waleca Ferry Faris, R	
8 Publication	
Barik, Debabrata, and S. Murugan. "Simultaneous reduction of l	NOx and smoke in <1%
Dublication	
Publication	an offect of intaken and 10%
Thim Fater, Ashutosh Dubey, Perosknan M. "Investigation on th	
10 Publication	

Hongsheng Guo, Brian Liko, Jennifer Littlejohns. "Combustion and Greenhouse G... <1%

Top Sources



25 Publication	
Ahmad Arbi Trihatmojo, Bambang Sudarmanta, Oki Muraza. "Performance and C	<1%
26 Publication	
Carlucci, A.P "Combustion and emissions control in diesel-methane dual fuel en	<1%
27 Publication	
Eduardo J.C. Cavalcanti. "Energy, exergy and exergoenvironmental analyses on g	<1%
28 Publication	
Hassan Sadah Muhssen, Ákos Bereczky. "Investigation of NG-diesel dual fuel engi	<1%
29 Publication	
John Ronsman, John Valla, Osama Nsaif, Adam Dempsey. "Experimental investiga	<1%
30 Publication	
Mengyuan Zhang, Yunjing Jiao, Yuanli Xu, Xiaofan Xu, Baoxing Guo. "Effects of Ad	<1%
31 Publication	
Muhammad Imran Khan, Tabassam Yasmeen, Muhammad Ijaz Khan, Muhamma	<1%
32 Publication	
Pavlos Dimitriou. "Chapter 9 Hydrogen Compression Ignition Engines", Springer S	<1%
33 Publication	
Sathish Thanikodi, Saravanan Rathinasamy, Jayant Giri, Aravind Kumar Jagadees	<1%
34 Publication	
Zongkuan Liu, Lei Zhou, Bo Liu, Wanhui Zhao, Haiqiao Wei. "Effects of equivalenc	<1%

Page 5 of 17 - Integrity Overview



Automotive Experiences

Vol. 7 No. 2 (2024) pp. 224-235

p-ISSN: 2615-6202 e-ISSN: 2615-6636



Research Paper

Experimental Analysis of the Influence of a Compressed Natural Gas (CNG) - Air Mixer on Performance and Emissions in Partial Load **CNG-Diesel Dual Fuel Engines**

Betty Ariani¹, Frengki Mohamad Felayati², Moh. Arif Batutah²

¹Department of Naval Architecture, Universitas Muhammadiyah Surabaya, Surabaya 60113, Indonesia ²Department of Marine Engineering, Universitas Hang Tuah, Surabaya 60111, Indonesia ³Department of Mechanical Engineering, Universitas Muhammadiyah Surabaya, Surabaya 60113, Indonesia

betty.ariani@ft.um-surabaya.ac.id

https://doi.org/10.31603/ae.11195

Published by Automotive Laboratory of Universitas Muhammadiyah Magelang

	Abstract
Article Info	The shipping industry is one of the contributors to global emissions that has received particular
Submitted:	attention due to the increasing demand for maritime transportation services. The use of natural
23/03/2024	gas is considered a potential solution due to its relatively clean nature, abundant availability,
Revised:	and competitive pricing. The CNG-Diesel Dual Fuel Engine design is developed with the
10/05/2024	principle of using natural gas as an alternative fuel without replacing the existing diesel
Accepted:	engine. Minimal modifications are made to the intake manifold to accommodate CNG entry.
04/06/2024	Despite its advantages, the development of dual fuel engines faces challenges, such as
Online first:	increased methane emissions due to the potential for incomplete combustion. This study
04/08/2024	conducts experimental research on the influence of mixer and non-mixer utilization in the
	intake manifold on the performance and emissions of a diesel-CNG dual fuel engine."Testing
	the mixer's influence is carried out under various CNG injection durations at low and high
	engine loads at constant speeds. The results indicate that the addition of the mixer does not
	immediately improve combustion quality or reduce emissions. Attention to conditioning the
	homogenous mixture at the required air-fuel ratio before entering the combustion chamber is
	crucial. The selection of the appropriate mixer design, diameter size, and placement of holes
	needs careful consideration.
	Keywords: Combustion homogeneity; CNG, Dual Fuel Engine; Emission reduction; Global

climate change; Venturi-like mixer

1. Introduction

(\$)

NC

The maritime transportation sector is the most reliable sector in global logistics delivery, with extremely large vessel sizes capable of accommodating large quantities of cargo. The continuous improvement in efficiency has led to an estimated 101% increase in cargo traffic volume over the past 20 years. This trend is directly proportional to the expected increase in emissions, which are projected to rise by up to 40% [1], [2]. However, when compared to other modes of transportation in the supply chain, shipping ranks lowest in emissions. In their statements [3], [4] t is explicitly stated that emissions are mainly caused by fuel usage, which accounts for 50% of shipping operational costs. The selection of fuel and more environmentally friendly fuel technologies is crucial in decarbonization efforts and zero-carbon programs. Current data indicates that shipping currently contributes to 3% of total carbon dioxide emissions [5] and although this figure is not as significant as sulfur emissions at 15% and particulate emissions at 11%, it remains a serious concern due to its impact on global warming and climate change.

The increasing demand for environmental protection standards has driven the International

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License. J turnitin Page 6 of 17- ance you -

30

13

Maritime Organization (IMO) to monitor and tighten regulations related to emissions generated by maritime activities and shipping. Through the International Convention for the Prevention of Pollution from Ships (MARPOL) in 1997 and subsequent revisions in 2005, Annex VI on the prevention of air pollution was established. This annex aims to minimize greenhouse gas emissions, as well as other gas emissions, and prevent adverse effects of pollution on the environment and human health [6], [7]. IMO policies and regulations focus on implementing operational measures and technical steps to enhance energy efficiency on ships, including the use of alternative energy sources [8].

Natural gas is one of the alternative fuels offering lower emissions compared to current liquid fossil fuels [9]–[12]. Its relatively clean nature, with emissions of sulfur oxides (SOx) and particulates meeting standards, makes it a breath of fresh air for the development of alternative fuel use in shipping [13]. Additionally, the competitive pricing of natural gas compared to low-sulfur fossil fuels serves as a positive driver for its adoption in the maritime industry [14], [15]. However, the use of natural gas still poses climate change issues. The methane content in natural gas, a byproduct of incomplete combustion or methane slip, increases the potential for greenhouse gas effects, which are several times more potent than carbon dioxide at equivalent levels [16], [17]. Moreover, the potential for methane leakage during production and transportation processes presents challenges and contributes to climate change issues [18], [19]. In its operations, natural gas can function as a single fuel with spark ignition or as a dual fuel with compression ignition.

Dual fuel diesel natural gas is one of the technological breakthroughs emerging as a response to de-carbonization challenges. By making slight modifications to diesel engines, such as installing a gas converter in the intake manifold, natural gas can be utilized as the primary fuel with diesel as the pilot fuel. Several previous studies on dual fuel diesel-natural gas engines, such as [20]–[22] have indicated that this technology is acceptable in terms of performance and emissions. However, some research has highlighted weaknesses in the dual fuel system, such as methane slip and knocking, especially under low load conditions [23], [24] The possibility of natural gas pressure in the combustion chamber reducing oxygen availability increases the likelihood of incomplete combustion. Some researchers have conducted studies to help address these issues, as demonstrated by [25] who attempted to reduce methane slip with premixed micro pilot [23], by adding O², and [17] by adjusting gas injection.

Knocking is a phenomenon closely associated with incomplete combustion in compression engines. The occurrence of knocking in dual fuel engines can be attributed to several factors and is highly dependent on temperature, pressure, fuel characteristics, mixture composition, and mixing time span [24]. Knocking has the potential to transpire during the incomplete combustion process. It is partly induced by the presence of unburned mixtures at high pressure and temperature, creating favorable conditions for pre-ignition formation [26]. Regarding the use of CNG, it has been observed that CNG exhibits the highest resistance to knocking compared to LPG and LNG, which can be understood in relation to the fuel's characteristics corresponding to its composition [27].

The use of a mixer enables mixing and interaction between CNG and air before entering the combustion chamber. Combustion efficiency, engine performance, air-fuel ratio, and emission reduction are directly proportional to the degree of mixture homogeneity. Studies on mixer utilization [28], [29] conducted using computational fluid dynamic (CFD) methods indicate that mixer performance needs to be optimized to achieve the desired homogeneity under the appropriate air-fuel ratio conditions before entering the combustion chamber. This often triggers an increase in fuel consumption and emissions levels in the engine.

This article will demonstrate the experimental influence of adding a venturi mixer model to a laboratory-scale CNG-Diesel Dual Fuel prototype on performance and emissions, with variations in CNG injection duration, low and high load conditions, at constant engine speed.

2. Experimental Set-Up

The experimental stages conducted in this study can be outlined as follows. In the first step, testing was performed on a single-cylinder Yanmar TF 85 diesel engine with a displacement volume of 493 cm³ and a compression ratio of 18:1. Testing involved conditioning premixed air and non-premixed air at various loads and speeds. Test results included engine performance and emissions. Subsequently, modifications were made to the intake manifold, and a gas converter was added to the diesel engine. Natural gas injection was controlled by an electronic control unit (ECU). Below are the specifications of the engine and fuel properties used.

The testing was conducted under two conditions: low load and high load. During the testing, an additional venturi-like mixer unit was installed Figure 2b. Testing was performed at various loads and natural gas injection durations. Below is the configuration of the dual fuel engine setup with the mixer unit Figure 1, along with the previously designed laboratory-scale prototype Figure 2a. To ascertain the significance of changes and the influence of the parameters on the performance and emissions generated, the data is presented in two major groups: comparison of single fuel performance as a baseline, CNG-Diesel Dual Fuel with and without mixer at low and high loads, and comparison of single fuel emissions as a baseline, CNG-Diesel Dual Fuel with mixer (referred to as premixed) and without mixer (referred to as non-premixed) at low and high loads. The variations in CNG injection duration utilized were 5 Ms, 7.5 Ms, and 10 Ms, all observed at a constant engine speed of 1800 RPM. The injection pressure of CNG fuel remains constant at 2 bar, with the dominant influence on the amount of CNG fuel entering the intake manifold being the injection duration setting. Code A was used for low load conditions, and code B for high load conditions. Code 1 for 5 Ms, code 2 for 7.5 ms and code 3 for 10 ms. A1 signifies an injection duration of 5 milliseconds under low load conditions. A2 signifies an injection duration of 7.5 milliseconds under low load conditions. A3 signifies an injection duration of 10 milliseconds under low load conditions. B1 signifies an injection duration of 5 milliseconds under high load conditions. B2 signifies an injection duration of 7.5 milliseconds under high load conditions. B3 signifies an injection duration of 10 milliseconds under high load conditions.

The testing was conducted experimentally with a testing scheme as outlined in Table 1. The collection phase data commenced with preparation, involving a preheating period of approximately 30 minutes prior to data acquisition. The tests were performed under two conditions: with and without a mixer. The rotational speed setting was maintained constant at 1800 RPM. The initial testing utilized dex diesel fuel as single fuel data, followed by dual fuel Dex-CNG testing involving variations in CNG injection duration. The properties of the two fuels can be seen on Table 2. The CNG ECU settings were adjusted manually. The distribution of injection duration data in the system ranged from 0 to 10 milliseconds. Parameters considered for analysis were 5, 7.5, and 10 milliseconds, representing intermediate to maximum durations, correlating with an increase in the amount of CNG entering the combustion chamber with increasing injection duration values. Engine loading was achieved using lamps with a 1000-watt increment. Current and voltage values were obtained from



Figure 1. The configuration of dual fuel engine + mixer







Natural Gas Mixer

(b)

Additional Valve

Intake Filter

Table 1.	Main	engine	characteristi	С
rubic r.	TATATI	cinginic	citaracteribti	-

	-
Characteristic	Value (m)
Engine type	DI – 4 stroke, natural aspirated, water cooled
Bore x Stroke (mm)	85 x 87
Volume Displacement (cm ³)	493
Diesel Injection Pressure (kg/cm ²)	200
Diesel Injection Timing °CA TDC	-18
Compression Ratio	18:1
Cylinder	1

Table 2. Fuel properties

Properties	Diesel Oil	CNG
Molar mass (kg/kmol)	200	16.04
Density (kg/m ³)	840 (l)	0.72 (g)
Stoichiometric A/F	14.7	17.2
Auto ignition C	254-285	595
LHV (Mj/kg)	42.61	47.14
HHV (Mj/kg)	45.58	52.23

20

digital multimeter readings, subsequently utilized to calculate engine performance metrics such as power, BMEP, torque, and SFC. The data proceeded collection process sequentially, starting from CNG injection duration variations of 5 to 10 milliseconds under loads of 1000 watts (representing low load) and 4000 watts (representing high load). Emission data were collected concurrently with engine performance data using a gas analyzer, including HC, CO, and NOx data points, considered representative at low to high loads, during single fuel and dual fuel (varied CNG injection duration) conditions, and with mixer and non-mixer setups. The spesifications of the measuring instrument is presented on Table 3.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Engine Performance; Single Fuel Baseline, Dual Fuel Mixer – Non Mixer

3.1.1. Engine Power

Figure 3 illustrates the influence of injection duration variation on engine power under low load conditions, where the loading is conducted at

1000 watts of testing load, and high load conditions, where the loading is conducted at 4000 watts of testing load. The figure depicts the power conditions at baseline, representing the engine operation with single fuel, and the power conditions under dual fuel operation at various injection duration variations: 5 ms (1), 7.5 ms (2), and 10 ms (3). Additionally, the figure shows the difference between system operations with the use of a mixer and without a mixer.

Generally, increasing the engine load correlates with an increase in engine power. However, in the transition from single fuel as a baseline to dual fuel, there is a slight decrease in the generated power. This occurs because the engine maintains a constant speed by adding diesel fuel; when CNG enters the combustion chamber, there is a decrease in rotational speed. The influence of injection duration is not significantly altering the power required at both low and high loads at constant speed. Changes in injection duration only affect power by 1 - 1.5%, either in decrease or increase. The addition of a mixer slightly causes a decrease in power, but it is

Table 3. The specification of the measuring instrument

1	0
Device	Property
QRETECH Gas Analyser	CO: 0.00~ 9.99%,
	HC : 0~ 9999ppm
	CO2 : 0.0~ 20.0%
	O2:0.0~25.0%
	Lamda : 0.000~ 2.000
	AFR : 0.0~ 99.0%
Burrete Meter	0 – 100 ml
Multimeter Digital	
Flowmeter	1 - 25 L/Min,20' C 0.15 MPa
1,3 1,2 1,1 0,9 0,9 0,8 0,7 0,6 0,5 Baseline A1 A2 A3 (a)	4,5 4,0 4,0 4,0 4,0 4,0 4,0 4,0 4,0 4,0 4,0

Figure 3. Engine Power baseline, dual fuel mixer-non mixer low load (a) and high load (b)

not significant. The power decrease observed after transitioning to dual fuel mode is attributed to the engine speed being maintained constant in both operational models, whether single fuel or dual fuel. The decline in power data following the shift to dual fuel mode is due to the introduction of CNG into the intake manifold, which cannot be balanced with the available oxygen for results combustion. This incomplete in combustion, as evidenced by the significant increase in HC and CO emissions in Figure 5 and Figure 6. The addition of diesel fuel alone is insufficient to counteract this power decrease. Interventions such as air supply through a supercharger, as conducted in prior research [30], demonstrate that in dual fuel scenarios, the oxygen requirement increases, necessitating specific interventions to maintain the air-fuel ratio (AFR) before entering the combustion chamber.

3.1.2. Thermal Efficiency

Figure 4 illustrates the influence of injection duration variation on thermal engine efficiency under low load conditions, where the loading is conducted at 1000 watts of testing load, and high load conditions, where the loading is conducted at 4000 watts of testing load. The figure showcases the power conditions at baseline, representing the engine operation with single fuel, and the thermal efficiency conditions under dual fuel operation at various injection duration variations: 5 ms (1), 7.5 ms (2), and 10 ms (3). Additionally, the figure shows the difference between system operations with the use of a mixer and without a mixer.

Generally, thermal efficiency increases with engine load. The increase in thermal efficiency of this dual-fuel engine is low at low loads but significantly higher at higher engine loads because at low loads, the air-fuel ratio of the air-CNG mixture becomes very low in incomplete combustion propagation, and most of the fresh air-gas mixture remains unburned. At higher engine loads, the air-fuel ratio decreases, resulting in complete combustion and increased thermal efficiency. This is consistent with research conducted by [31]. Based on the test data, there is an increase in thermal efficiency from low to high load by 7% for a 5 Ms injection duration, 13% for 7.5 Ms, and 7% for 10 Ms.

From the test results, it is observed that the addition of a mixer generally decreases the thermal efficiency at both low and high load conditions. The mixer's inability to condition the mixture to the required air-fuel ratio before entering the combustion chamber results in unstable combustion quality. This is evident at low loads, where a 10 Ms CNG injection duration shows an increase in thermal efficiency of up to 6% compared to without the mixer and 11% compared to the baseline. Similarly, at high loads, a 10 Ms CNG injection duration also shows an increase in thermal efficiency of 5% compared to without the mixer. The improper design of the mixer exacerbates flow characteristics and obstacles in oxygen intake, worsening the AFR values due to the decreasing oxygen supply. This results in incomplete combustion, leading to a decrease in power in Figure 3 and an increase in HC and CO emissions in Figure 5 and Figure 6. The power decrease will be linear with the decrease in thermal efficiency. It is not easy to determine the appropriate mixer design for dual fuel engines.



Figure 4. Thermal efficiency baseline, dual fuel mixer-non mixer low load (a) and high load (b)

8

Problem always arise in the form of the inability to prepare a homogeneous air-fuel mixture at specific air-fuel ratios before entering the engine [32].

Figure 4a presents the thermal efficiency display graph of the baseline, dual fuel with mixer, and dual fuel without mixer under low load conditions (A), while **Figure 4b** represents the thermal efficiency display graph of the baseline, dual fuel with mixer, and dual fuel without mixer under high load conditions (B).

3.2. Emision; Single Fuel baseline, Dual Fuel Mixer – Non Mixer

3.2.1. HC Emission

Figure 5 depicts the influence of injection duration variation on HC emissions under low load conditions, where the loading is conducted at 1000 watts of testing load, and high load conditions, where the loading is conducted at 4000 watts of testing load. The figure illustrates the HC emission conditions at baseline, representing the engine operation with single fuel, and the HC emission conditions under dual fuel operation at various injection duration variations: 5 ms (1), 7.5 ms (2), and 10 ms (3). Additionally, the figure shows the difference between system operations with the use of a mixer and without a mixer.

From the test results, there is a significant increase in HC emissions in the dual fuel engine system compared to the baseline. At low engine loads, HC emissions increase within the range of 97.6% to 98.7% with increasing CNG injection duration from 5 Ms to 10 Ms. Meanwhile, at high engine loads, HC emissions increase by 97.1% to 97.8% with increasing CNG injection duration. In the dual fuel engine system, the presence of CNG with a majority composition of methane CH4 increases the potential for HC emissions compared to the baseline condition where the engine is only fueled by diesel. Increasing the CNG injection duration from 5 Ms to 10 Ms has increased HC emissions by 46%. The significant increase in CNG content leads to a decrease in oxygen levels, which increases the potential for HC emissions. Changing the engine load significantly reduces HC emissions by 50.7% at a 5 Ms injection duration, 67.4% at 7.5 Ms, and 64.5% at 10 Ms. This is consistent with research conducted by [33], [34] which states that HC emissions increase rapidly at low loads and increase slowly at high loads. The increased combustion chamber temperature with load increases enhances the combustion process of rich fuel, thereby reducing HC emissions.

The test results reveal an opposite trend between the baseline and dual fuel with mixer regarding HC emissions. The decrease in HC emissions observed in the baseline does not occur in the dual fuel, both at low and high load conditions. This indicates a mismatch or inability of the mixer to condition the mixture homogeneity at a certain air-fuel ratio before entering the combustion chamber.

Figure 5a presents the HC emission display graph of the baseline, dual fuel with mixer, and dual fuel without mixer under low load conditions (A), while **Figure 5b** represents the HC emission display graph of the baseline, dual fuel with mixer, and dual fuel without mixer under high load conditions (B).



Figure 5. HC emission baseline, dual fuel mixer-non mixer low load (a) high load (b)

3.2.2. CO Emission

Figure 6 illustrates the influence of injection duration variation on CO emissions under low load conditions, where the loading is conducted at 1000 watts of testing load, and high load conditions, where the loading is conducted at 4000 watts of testing load. The figure depicts the CO emission conditions at baseline, representing the engine operation with single fuel, and the CO emission conditions under dual fuel operation at various injection duration variations: 5 ms (1), 7.5 ms (2), and 10 ms (3). Additionally, the figure shows the difference between system operations with the use of a mixer and without a mixer.

Similarly to HC, CO emissions in dual fuel also experience an increase compared to the baseline. The addition of CNG influences the formation of a rich fuel mixture and oxygen deficiency, leading the potential for increased incomplete to combustion, resulting in CO formation in the exhaust gas. At low loads, the potential for CO increases with longer emissions injection durations. The presence of the mixer does not significantly assist in reducing emissions. In fact, it tends to result in increased CO emissions, although at high load conditions, there is a decrease in CO by 10.4% for a 5 Ms injection duration and 26.3% for a 10 Ms injection duration. The inconsistent performance of the mixer is due in part to its failure to align the proper air-fuel ratio when introducing the mixture into the combustion chamber. With the increase in engine load, which also affects the increase in combustion chamber temperature, it can help improve combustion quality. The research results are consistent with those produced by [35] which states that the failure of the mixer to provide a homogeneous mixture of gas and air fuel will lead to increased emissions and decreased performance.

Figure 6a presents the CO emission display graph of the baseline, dual fuel with mixer, and dual fuel without mixer under low load conditions (A), while **Figure 6b** represents the CO emission display graph of the baseline, dual fuel with mixer, and dual fuel without mixer under high load conditions (B).

3.2.3. NOx Emission

Figure 7 illustrates the influence of injection duration variation on NOx emissions under low load conditions, where the loading is conducted at 1000 watts of testing load, and high load conditions, where the loading is conducted at 4000 watts of testing load. The figure depicts the NOx emission conditions at baseline, representing the engine operation with single fuel, and the NOx emission conditions under dual fuel operation at various injection duration variations: 5 ms (1), 7.5 ms (2), and 10 ms (3). Additionally, the figure shows the difference between system operations with the use of a mixer and without a mixer.

A relatively positive trend is observed from the dual fuel system regarding the emitted NOx compared to the baseline [25], [27]. At low load conditions, a significant decrease is observed, with a declining trend as the injection duration increases. At low load, a 5 Ms CNG injection duration results in a 13.1% decrease in NOx compared to the baseline, showing increasingly better performance with a 81.5% reduction for a 7.5 Ms injection duration and a 89.9% reduction



Figure 6. CO Emission baseline, dual fuel mixer-non mixer low load (a) high load (b)



Figure 7. NOx Emission baseline, dual fuel mixer-non mixer low load (a) high load (b)

for a 10 Ms injection duration. The presence of CNG lowers the combustion chamber temperature, reducing the potential for NOx formation. The longer the injection duration, the more CNG accumulates in the combustion chamber. Under high load conditions, the reduction in NOx is not as significant as at low load, with a 26.1% decrease compared to the baseline for a 5 Ms injection duration, 23.4% for a 7.5 Ms duration, and 25.2% for a 10 Ms duration. The addition of the mixer also does not perform well in reducing NOx emissions under both low and high load conditions. There is an increase in NOx values for almost all injection durations, except for the 5 Ms injection duration under low load conditions.

Figure 7a presents the NOx emission display graph of the baseline, dual fuel with mixer, and dual fuel without mixer under low load conditions (A), while **Figure 7b** represents the NOx emission display graph of the baseline, dual fuel with mixer, and dual fuel without mixer under high load conditions (B).

4. Conclussion

From the experimental investigation on the impact of utilizing a mixer for conditioning premixed diesel-CNG dual fuel engines:

a. Changes in injection duration have only a marginal effect on power, ranging from a 1-1.5% increase or decrease. The incorporation of a mixer results in a slight decrease in power, albeit not significantly. It is observed that the addition of a mixer generally decreases thermal efficiency under both low and high load conditions.. This is evident at low loads,

where a 10 ms CNG injection duration demonstrates a thermal efficiency increase of up to 6% compared to operation without the mixer and 11% compared to the baseline. Similarly, at high loads, a 10 ms CNG injection duration also exhibits a thermal efficiency increase of 5% compared to operation without the mixer.

- b. HC emissions increase within the range of 97.6% to 98.7% with an increase in CNG injection duration from 5 ms to 10 ms. Meanwhile, at high engine loads, HC emissions increase by 97.1% to 97.8% with increasing CNG injection duration. At low loads, longer injection durations show a potential for increased CO emissions. The presence of the mixer does not significantly aid in emission reduction. In fact, it tends to result in increased CO emissions, although at high load conditions, there is a decrease in CO by 10.4% for a 5 ms injection duration.
- c. The addition of a mixer does not automatically enhance combustion quality or reduce emissions. It is crucial to consider conditioning a homogeneous mixture at the required airfuel ratio before entering the combustion chamber. Selecting the appropriate mixer design, diameter size, and hole placement are essential factors to consider.

Acknowledgments

We express our gratitude to the National Research and Innovation Agency (BRIN) and the Education Fund Management Institution (LPDP) for funding this research through the Research for Innovation towards an Advanced Indonesia (RIIM) Batch 3 scheme in 2023, contract number 51/IV/KS/05/2023. We also extend our thanks to the Internal Combustion Engine Laboratory of Muhammadiyah University of Surabaya and the Green Maritime Research Group for their collaboration in conducting this research.

Author's Declaration

Authors' contributions and responsibilities

The authors made substantial contributions to the conception and design of the study. The authors took responsibility for data analysis, interpretation and discussion of results. The authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Funding

Research funding was provided by the National Research and Innovation Agency (BRIN) and the Education Fund Management Institute (LPDP) through the Research for Innovative Indonesia (RIIM) scheme, batch 3, in 2023.

Availability of data and materials

All data are available from the authors.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interest.

Additional information

No additional information from the authors.

References

- [1] "DNV GL says oil & gas industry sees hydrogen as key to decarbonisation," *Fuel Cells Bulletin*, vol. 2020, no. 6, 2020, doi: 10.1016/s1464-2859(20)30258-3.
- [2] DNV GL Maritime, "Assessment of Selected Ternative Fuels and Technologies," *Imo*, vol. 391, no. June, 2019.
- [3] H. Xing, C. Stuart, S. Spence, and H. Chen, "Alternative fuel options for low carbon maritime transportation: Pathways to 2050," *Journal of Cleaner Production*, vol. 297, 2021, doi: 10.1016/j.jclepro.2021.126651.
- [4] S. Wang *et al.*, "Decarbonizing in Maritime Transportation: Challenges and Opportunities," *Journal of Transportation Technologies*, vol. 13, no. 02, 2023, doi: 10.4236/jtts.2023.132015.
- P. Gilbert, A. Bows-Larkin, S. Mander, and C. Walsh, "Technologies for the high seas: Meeting the climate challenge," *Carbon Management*, vol. 5, no. 4, 2014, doi:

10.1080/17583004.2015.1013676.

- [6] D. Pyć, "Ship energy efficiency measures and climate protection," *International Community Law Review*, vol. 23, no. 2. 2021, doi: 10.1163/18719732-12341474.
- [7] K. Yeremenko, "International Maritime Organization and Decarbonization of Maritime Industry: Mandate and Instruments," *Lex Portus*, vol. 8, no. 3, 2022, doi: 10.26886/2524-101X.8.3.2022.2.
- [8] International Maritime Organization, "IMO 2020: consistent implementation of MARPOL Annex VI.," OMi, 2020.
- [9] S. Munahar, M. Setiyo, R. A. Brieghtera, M. M. Saudi, A. Ahmad, and D. Yuvenda, "Fuel Control System on CNG Fueled Vehicles using Machine Learning: A Case Study on the Downhill," *Automotive Experiences*, vol. 6, no. 1, pp. 173–187, Apr. 2023, doi: 10.31603/ae.8107.
- [10] R. M. Susanto and M. Setiyo, "Natural Gas Vehicle (NGV): Status Teknologi dan Peluang Pengembangannya," Automotive Experiences, vol. 1, no. 01, pp. 1–6, 2018, doi: 10.31603/ae.v1i01.2000.
- [11] D. Yuvenda *et al.*, "Combustion and Emission Characteristics of CNG-Diesel Dual Fuel Engine with Variation of Air Fuel Ratio," *Automotive Experiences*, vol. 5, no. 3, pp. 507– 527, 2022, doi: 10.31603/ae.7807.
- [12] Deendarlianto, A. Widyaparaga, T. Widodo, I. Handika, I. Chandra Setiawan, and A. Lindasista, "Modelling of Indonesian road transport energy sector in order to fulfill the national energy and oil reduction targets," *Renewable Energy*, vol. 146, pp. 504–518, 2020, doi: 10.1016/j.renene.2019.06.169.
- [13] S. Faramawy, T. Zaki, and A. A. E. Sakr, "Natural gas origin, composition, and processing: A review," *Journal of Natural Gas Science and Engineering*, vol. 34. 2016, doi: 10.1016/j.jngse.2016.06.030.
- [14] J. C. Griffiths, "Natural Gas: A Study . E. N. Tiratsoo," *The Journal of Geology*, vol. 83, no. 1, 1975, doi: 10.1086/628060.
- [15] M. A. Arefin, M. N. Nabi, M. W. Akram, M. T. Islam, and M. W. Chowdhury, "A review on liquefied natural gas as fuels for dual fuel engines: Opportunities, challenges and

responses," *Energies*, vol. 13, no. 22. 2020, doi: 10.3390/en13226127.

- [16] M. V. Jensen, R. F. Cordtz, and J. Schramm, "Numerical analysis of methane slip source distribution in a four-stroke dual-fuel marine engine," *Journal of Marine Science and Technology (Japan)*, vol. 26, no. 2, 2021, doi: 10.1007/s00773-020-00760-3.
- [17] B. Ariani, I. M. Ariana, and M. A. Fathallah, "Effect of natural gas injection timing on combustion performance & methane slip emission of diesel - NG dual fuel engine: An experimental study," 2019, doi: 10.1063/1.5138258.
- [18] K. Shine, J. Fuglestvedt, and N. Stuber, "An alternative to the Global Warming Potential for comparing climate impacts of emissions of greenhouse gases," *Climate Change*, vol. 68, no. 4, 2009, doi: 10.1007/s10584-005-1146-9.
- [19] A. R. Brandt *et al.*, "Energy and environment. Methane leaks from North American natural gas systems.," *Science (New York, N.Y.)*, vol. 343, no. 6172, 2014, doi: 10.1126/science.1247045.
- [20] B. Ariani, I. Made Ariana, and A. Z. M. Fathallah, "Numerical Study of Emissions on DDF Engine with 20% CNG with Variation on Compression Ratio," in *Lecture Notes in Electrical Engineering*, 2022, vol. 876, doi: 10.1007/978-981-19-1581-9_32.
- [21] F. M. Felayati, Dwisetiono, H. Prasutiyon, and B. Ariani, "Study on Natural Gas/Diesel Dual-fuel Engine Energy Ratio: Effect of Natural Gas Injection Parameters," in *IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science*, 2022, vol. 1081, no. 1, doi: 10.1088/1755-1315/1081/1/012038.
- [22] S. Stoumpos, G. Theotokatos, E. Boulougouris, D. Vassalos, I. Lazakis, and G. Livanos, "Marine dual fuel engine modelling and parametric investigation of engine settings effect on performance-emissions trade-offs," *Ocean Engineering*, 2018, doi: 10.1016/j.oceaneng.2018.03.059.
- [23] H. Tajima and D. Tsuru, "Reduction of methane slip from gas engines by O2 concentration control using gas permeation membrane," 2013, doi: 10.4271/2013-01-2618.
- [24] M. Y. E. Selim, "Sensitivity of dual fuel

engine combustion and knocking limits to gaseous fuel composition," *Energy Conversion and Management*, vol. 45, no. 3, pp. 411–425, 2004.

- [25] I. May *et al.*, "Reduction of Methane Slip Using Premixed Micro Pilot Combustion in a Heavy-Duty Natural Gas-Diesel Engine," 2015, doi: 10.4271/2015-01-1798.
- [26] R. Procházka, A. Dittrich, T. Zvolský, and D. N. Phu, "The Knocking in the Gas Dual-fuel Engine with Liquid LPG Injection into the Intake Manifold," *International Journal of Mechanical Engineering and Robotics Research*, vol. 10, no. 12, 2021, doi: 10.18178/ijmerr.10.12.694-701.
- [27] M. S. Lounici, M. A. Benbellil, K. Loubar, D. C. Niculescu, and M. Tazerout, "Knock characterization and development of a new knock indicator for dual-fuel engines," *Energy*, 2017, doi: 10.1016/j.energy.2017.11.138.
- [28] F. J. Rojas, F. O. Jiménez Ugarte, and J. A. Álvarez, "Design and evaluation of mixers in domestic burner using liquiefied petroleum gas," *Informacion Tecnologica*, vol. 28, no. 5, 2017, doi: 10.4067/s0718-07642017000500019.
- [29] H. S. Muhssen, S. U. Masuri, B. Bin Sahari, and A. A. Hairuddin, "Design improvement of compressed natural gas (CNG)-Air mixer for diesel dual-fuel engines using computational fluid dynamics," *Energy*, p. 118957, 2020, doi: 10.1016/j.energy.2020.118957.
- [30] J. Jamaludin, D. Yuvenda, and B. Sudarmanta, "The Effect of Addition Electrical Supercharger on Diesel Dual Fuel Engine with Variation of Air Mass Flow Rate to The Engine Performance and Emissions," *IPTEK Journal of Proceedings Series*, vol. 0, no. 1, 2019, doi: 10.12962/j23546026.y2019i1.5262.
- [31] B. Subramanian and V. Thangavel, "Experimental investigations on performance, emission and combustion characteristics of Diesel-Hydrogen and Diesel-HHO gas in a Dual fuel CI engine," International Journal of Hydrogen Energy, vol. 46, 2020, doi: 45, no. 10.1016/j.ijhydene.2020.06.280.
- [32] A. A. Kazum, O. H. Attia, A. I. Mosa, and N. M. Adam, "Investigation on the air-gas

characteristics of air-hydrogen mixer designed for dual fuel – engines," *EUREKA*, *Physics and Engineering*, vol. 2021, no. 2, 2021, doi: 10.21303/2461-4262.2021.001722.

- [33] Q. Pham, S. Park, A. K. Agarwal, and S. Park, "Review of dual-fuel combustion in the compression-ignition engine: Spray, combustion, and emission," *Energy*, vol. 250. 2022, doi: 10.1016/j.energy.2022.123778.
- [34] L. Wei and P. Geng, "A review on natural

gas/diesel dual fuel combustion, emissions and performance," *Fuel Processing Technology*, vol. 142. 2016, doi: 10.1016/j.fuproc.2015.09.018.

[35] V. Chintala and K. A. Subramanian, "A CFD (computational fluid dynamics) study for optimization of gas injector orientation for performance improvement of a dual-fuel diesel engine," *Energy*, vol. 57, 2013, doi: 10.1016/j.energy.2013.06.009.