

About IJMEI

IJMEI Journal Is A Peer Reviewed Multidisciplinary International Journal Publishing Original And High-Quality Articles Covering A Wide Range Of Topics In Management And Economics. IJMEI Is An Open Access Journal That Publishes Papers Submitted In English. The Journal Aims To Give Its Contribution For Enhancement Of Research Studies And Be A Recognized Forum Attracting Authors And Audiences From Both The Academic And Industrial Communities Interested In State-Of-The Art Research Activities In Management And Economics Invention And Applied Science Areas, Which Cover Topics Including (But Not Limited To): Management, International Economics, Economic Development, Financial Economics, International Political Economy, Transition Economies, Economic Policy, Monetary And Fiscal Policy Decision-Making, Pricing And Risk Of Financial Instruments, Advances In Financial Econometrics And Statistics.

The IJMEI Journals International Journals Of Management And Economics Invention, International Peer-Reviewed Open Access Journal Which Publishes Research Articles, Review Articles Short Communications And Book Reviews From Academicians And Business-Persons, Our Aims Publish Articles Of High Quality Dealing With Issues Which Impacts National And Global Scientific Research Scholars.

Accepted Papers Are Available Freely With Online Full-Text Content Upon Receiving The Final Versions, And Will Be Indexed At Major Academic Databases.

Editorial Team Our Expert

Mr. Mukesh Soulanki

Editor-in-Chief

Pavithradevi.S

Department Of Information Technology Tamilnadu College Of

Engineering, Karumathampatti, Coimbatore

Dheemanth H N

Dept of Computer Science, National Institute of Engineering, Karnataka, India Swati Gupta

Ujjawal Khare, Tanushree Yadav, Vipul Singh CS/IT Department IITM Gwalior. India

Mithilesh Kumar Dubey

Assistant Professor, Department of Computer Science & IT

Jagan Nath University, Jaipur India

P.Kiran Sree

(Ph.D), M.E (C.S.E), B.Tech (C.S.E) F.I.A.E.M.E Professor In The

Department Of Cse At Nbkrist

Dr.D.M.W.K. Dissanayake

Senior Lecturer, Grade 1 Head Of The Department Of Humanities Rajarata University Of Sri Lanka ,Mihintale. Sri Lanka.

Dr. P B Ahmed Mohideen, Ph. D

Lodha Group As Deputy Vice President - Plant & Equipment

Dr. Chirag M. Modi

Ph.D. (Pharmacology) Department Of Pharmacology, Veterinary College, SDAU, Sardarkrushinagar-,N.G

Santanu Modak

M.Sc In Computer Science Ugc-Net Qualified Ph.D Pursuing University Of Burdwan, Burdwan, India.

Mark B. Ulla

College English Language and Literature InstructoR Father Saturnino Urios University San Francisco St., Butuan City Ahmed Hashim Mohaisen Al-Yasari.

Assistant Lecturer Physics Department, College Of Education, University Of Babylon, Iraq

Mahboob Ellahi

Planning and Development Department, Government of the Punjab, Lahore.

Dr. Ir. Uke Marius Siahaan., MBA

Dutama Energy Persada, President Director, Geothermal, Oil &

Gas Mining & Drilling Company, Jakarta

Dr. Adel Mohammed Sarea

College of Business and Finance Department of Accounting and Economics – Director of MBA

Dr. Nasios Orinos

Kastorias 6A, Lykavitos 1055 Nicosia, Cyprus

Fabio Pizzutilo

Ph. D in Corporate Finance, Department of Studi aziendali e giusprivatistici University of Bari "Aldo Moro" Largo Abbazia S.

Scolastica, 53, 70124 Bari +39 080 5049285

DON-SOLOMON AMAKIRI

Lecturer- Department of Business Administration, Niger Delta University King Ovoh's Palace, Akakumama Community Okoroma, Nembe L.G.A., Bayelsa State

Dr Obadire Olusegun Samson

Ph.D., MRDV, HONRDV 193, Unit D, Thohoyandou, 0950, South Africa Stanisław Kaczyński

Ph.D. 18 - 400 Łomża ul. Przykoszarowa 21/14

Victor EKWUKOMA

Ph.D. Educational Research Centre, Nigerian Educational

Research and Development Council (NERDC), Abuja

Author Guideline

Research and Analysis Journals case studies, review articles and technical notes. The journal allows free access to its contents, which is likely to attract more readers and citations to articles published in Research Analysis. The Journal accepts original and innovative submissions in English on the understanding that the work is unpublished and is not being considered for publication elsewhere.

- Original Research Papers.
- Case studies.
- Review articles.
- Technical Notes.

Research Analysis publishes work that contributes significantly to the scientific knowledge in computer sciences and Engineering fields.

The manuscripts must be single-spaced typed, written in fair grammatical English. Latin plurals should not be used if the English equivalent has become the accepted form, e.g., formulas not formulae. Use of hyphens, capital letters and numbers written or spelled out (e.g., 8 or eight) should be consistent throughout the manuscript.

Author Instructions

Authors are invited to submit papers for publication using "ONLINE SUBMISSION" section in our website or through email **editor@rajournals.in** Submissions are accepted for review with the understanding that the same work has been neither submitted to, nor published in, another publication. Instructions on how to use the online submission tool are available at the home page. Manuscripts submitted to the journal are accepted on the basis of following criteria.

- 1. All manuscripts must be in English and in MS Word format.
- 2. They have not been published in whole or in part in any other journal.
- 3. The e-mail addresses and telephone numbers of all authors must be provided.
- 4. Illustrations (figures) of manuscripts should be in computer format.
- 5. Authors must state in a covering letter when submitting papers for publication the novelty embodied in their work or in the approach taken in their research.
- 6. Manuscripts submitted under multiple authors are reviewed on the assumption that all listed authors concur with the submission and that a copy of the final manuscript has been approved by all authors.

The review output will be one of the following decisions:

- 1. Under Review
- 2. Accepted
- 3. Rejected

Format & Style

Text of manuscript should be arranged in the following order: Title, Abstract, Introduction, Body Text, Results and Discussion, Conclusion, Acknowledgements and

References.

Title: Title should be short and enough to explain gist of your research work.

Abstract: It should be of not more than 150 words for brief reports and 250 words for original articles and other article types. At the end of the abstract you must provide 3 to 8 keywords.

Introduction: Introduction should state the purpose and summarize the research work.

Body Text: It should be elaborative enough to explain all the procedures, methods, observations and data. Use heading and subheading and references wherever needed.

Result and discussion: It is preferred to discuss all the results in detail in case of original research paper. To explain observed data you can use figures, graphs and tables.

Conclusion: A conclusion might elaborate on the importance of the work or suggest applications and extensions.

References: It includes all the papers, website, books etc. which is referred by author(s) in the present research work.

The entire document should be in Times New Roman. For page style author should use the sample paper (template), attached with this guideline.

Publication Frequency- Monthly

Publication Ethics

RESEARCH & ANALYSIS JOURNALS PUBLISHING ETHICS AND RECTITUDE

The publication of RAJAR & IJMEI involves conscientious, systematic and comprehensive processes by publishers and editors which require to be dealt with efficiently and competently. To maintain high ethical standards of publication of quality science the publisher strives to work closely at all times with journal editors, authors and peer-reviewers. The ethics statement for Research and Analysis Journals is based on those by the Committee on Publication Ethics (COPE) Code of Conduct guidelines available at www.publicationethics.org.

The essentials RAJAR & IJMEI publishing ethics for all groups involved in the publishing process are as follows:

Editors' Responsibilities

The editor of a journal holds a vital position taking important editorial decisions on all peer-reviewed submitted for publication.

The editor should maintain the transparency of the academic research & record, preclude professional needs from cooperating ethical standards, and always be willing to publish retractions, rectifications, and erratum when required.

The editor should assess manuscripts for their scientific quality and intellectual content, free from any sort of biased decisions based on discrimination of race, gender, geographical origin, or religion of the author(s). The editor should evaluate manuscripts objectively based on their academic merit free of any commercial or self-interests.

The editor should not disclose any information on submitted manuscripts before publication of the manuscript.

Promoting research rectitude must be preserved. If at any stage the publisher suspects any kind of misconduct in research, it should be investigated promptly in detail with suitable authority; and if any suspicious act of misconduct is observed in the peer review, it should be resolved with diligence.

Reviewers' Responsibilities

Providing a detailed, constructive, and unbiased evaluation in a timely manner on the scientific content of the work.

Indicating whether the writing is relevant, concise & clear and evaluating the originality and scientific accuracy.

Maintaining the confidentiality of the complete review process.

Notifying the journal editor about any financial or personal conflict of interest and declining to review the manuscript when a possibility of such a conflict exists.

Notifying the journal editor of any ethical concerns in their evaluation of submitted manuscripts; such as any violation of ethical treatment of animal or human subjects or any considerable similarity between the previously published article and any reviewed manuscript.

Authors' Responsibilities

All the work reported in the manuscript must be original and free from any kind of plagiarism.

The work should not have been published elsewhere or submitted to any other journal(s) at the same time.

Any potential conflict of interest must be clearly acknowledged.

Proper acknowledgements to other work reported (individual/company/institution) must be given. Permission must be obtained from any content used from other sources.

Only those who have made any substantial contribution to the interpretation or composition of the submitted work, should be listed as 'Authors'. While other contributors should be mentioned as 'co-authors'.

Publishers' Responsibilities

RAJAR & IJMEI is committed to work with journal editors, defining clearly their relevant roles, in order to ensure appropriate decisions regarding publication procedures and maintaining the transparency of editorial decisions.

RAJAR & IJMEI ensures the integrity autonomy and originality of each published article with respect to:

publication and research fundings publication ethics and rectitude conflicts of interest confidentiality authorship article modifications timely publication of content

The Effect Of Work Environment On
Employee Performance Through The Job
Satisfaction In Drinking Water Company
Pandaan Indonesia

Author:- Didin Fatihudin, Muhammad Anang Firmansyah Published On November 13, 2018



Available online at www.rajournals.in

International Journal of Management and Economics Invention

ISSN: 2395-7220 DOI: 10.31142/ijmei/v4i11.01 Volume: 04 Issue: 11 November 2018 International Open Access ICV: 72.76 Impact Factor:

6.378(SJIF), 0.532(GIF) Page no.-1982-1988

The Effect of Work Environment on Employee Performance Through the Job Satisfaction in Drinking Water Company Pandaan Indonesia

Didin Fatihudin¹, Muhammad Anang Firmansyah²

^{1,2}Faculty of Economicsand Business, Muhammadiyah University, Surabaya Indonesia

| ARTICLE INFO | ABSTRACT |
|---|---|
| Published Online: 13 November 2018 | The background of this study aims to determine the effect of work environment on employee job satisfaction, the influence of work environment on employee performance, the influence of job satisfaction on employee performance and to determine the indirect effect of work environment on employee performance through job satisfaction at Drinking Water Company in Pandaan on Water Quality Laboratory. This research is included in explanatory research. In accordance with the objectives to be achieved in this study describes the relationship and influence some predefined variables. This study used a sample of 50 employees of Drinking Water Company in Pandaan on |
| Corresponding Author: Muhammad Anang Firmansyah | Water Quality Laboratory with data collection techniques through interviews, documentation and spread questionnaires. The test used to test the research instrument in the form of validity test, reliability test and classical assumption test. Hypothesis test using path analysis. To analyze the data used is the residual normality test. |

KEYWORDS: Work Environment, Job Satisfaction, Employee Performance.

INTRODUCTION

The work environment is everything that exists around the worker and that can influence him in carrying out the tasks he carries or is responsible for. To improve the productivity of the work environment greatly affect the performance because a good working environment will create ease of implementation tasks. This work environment itself consists of physical and non-physical work environment attached with employees so that can not be separated from the business development of employee performance.

Human resources have a vital role in a company. All aspects related to human resources ultimately affect the output of the company concerned. Given its vital role, it is proper that a company undertakes a mechanism of maintenance of human resources by paying attention to the satisfaction of its employees.To create a high performance, required an optimal work enhancement and able to utilize the potential of human resources owned by employees in order to create organizational goals, so that will contribute positively to the of the organization.In development addition, organization needs to pay attention to various factors that may affect employee motivation, in this case is necessary for the role of the organization in improving motivation and create a conducive working environment in order to encourage the creation of professional attitudes and actions in completing the work in accordance with their respective

fields and responsibilities - each .Human resources play a role in processing and utilizing the resources and materials so that the product. Therefore, to improve the performance, it should be noted that human resources can work efficiently and display performance that can contribute to productivity is a fundamental problem of various management and leadership concepts (Wulan: 2011).

This research was conducted at Drinking Water Company in Pandaan on Water Quality Laboratorywith the reason that many customers are not satisfied with the service in that office especially in Water Quality Laboratory. This is because employees are lazy in solving the results of water analysis so that the results of water analysis is not completed on time and it makes customers complain. In addition, there are some employees who leave the office before the break time, so that sometimes customers are left waiting for hanging around without any certainty. It certainly makes the customer angry and not satisfied with the service employees at Drinking Water Company in Pandaan on Water Quality Laboratory.

LITERATURE REVIEW

According to Sukanto and Indriyo in Khoiriyah (2009) the work environment is everything that is around the workers that can affect in the work includes the setting of lighting, noise control, workplace hygiene settings and workplace

security settings. According Sudiro (2013), states that in general, the type of work environment is divided into two namely the physical work environment and non physical work environment. Physical work environment factors according to Wulan (2011) are Coloring, Illumination, Air, Noise, Space, Security and Hygiene. While the factors of non-physical work environment is the structure of work, work responsibilities, attention and support leaders, cooperation between groups, and smooth communication.

Job satisfaction is a set of employee feelings about whether or not their work is fun. There is an important difference between these feelings and the other two elements of employee attitudes. According to Davis and John (2014) Job satisfaction is a relative pleasure or dislike that is different from objective thinking and behavioral desires. These three attitudes help managers understand employees' reactions to their work and predict their impact on future behavior. According to Wibowo (2013) there are five factors that can affect the occurrence of job satisfaction, namely Need fulfillment, Discrepancies (Differences), Value attainment, Equity, Dispositional / genetic components (genetic component). Performance is defined as the work of a worker, a management process or an organization as a whole, in which the work must be shown in concrete evidence and can be measured (compared with predetermined standards). According Simamora (2010), performance (performance) refers to the level of achievement of tasks that form an employee job. Performance reflects how well employees meet the requirements of a job. Pramudyo (2012) states that performance is a measure of what to do and what employees do not do. Often misinterpreted as an effort that reflects the energy spent, performance is measured from a result (.Endo, 2014)

Table 1.1. Variables Associated with Job Satisfaction

| Tuble 1.11. Valiables hissociat | ica with 300 Sausiachon | | | |
|---------------------------------|-------------------------|--------------|--|--|
| VariablesRelated | Direction of | Strength of | | |
| withSatisfaction | Relationship | Relationship | | |
| | | | | |
| Motivation | Positive | Moderate | | |
| Job Involvement | Positive | Moderate | | |
| OrganizationalCitizenship | Positive | Moderate | | |
| Behavior | Positive | Strong Weak | | |
| OrganizationalCommitmen | Negative | Weak | | |
| Absenteeism | Negative | Moderate | | |
| Tardiness | Negative | Moderate | | |
| Turnover Heart Disease | Negative | Strong | | |
| Perceived Stress Pro-union | Negative | Moderate | | |
| Voting Job performance Life | Negative | Weak | | |
| Satisfaction | Positive | Moderate | | |
| | Positive | | | |
| | | | | |

Source: Primary Data (processed)

STATEMENT OF THE PROBLEM

Based on the background and problems that have been described, it can be formulated as follows:

Formulation Of The Problem

- 1. Does the work environment directly affect the performance
- 2. Does the work environment directly affect job satisfaction
- 3. Does job satisfaction affect directly on performance
- 4. Does the work environment indirectly affect performance through job satisfaction

HYPOTHESES

- 1. The work environment directly affects performance.
- 2. Work environment directly affects job satisfaction.
- 3. Job satisfaction has a direct effect on employee performance.
- 4. The work environment has an indirect effect on performance through job satisfaction.

RESEARCH METHOD


Based on the research objectives that have been previously disclosed is to examine the effect of work environment on performance, the influence of work environment on job satisfaction and the effect of job satisfaction on performance, the researcher uses explanatory research type (explanation). This type of research is a research used to test the hypothesis about the relationship of variables (causality). research topic.

This research uses a quantitative approach. Quantitative approach is an approach that emphasizes the existence of variables as research objects and these variables must be defined in the form of operationalization of each variable and external understanding. Reliability and validity is an absolute requirement that must be met in using this approach because both elements will determine the quality of research results and replication capabilities and generalizations of users of similar research models.

The population in this research is all employees of Drinking Water Company in Pandaan on Water Quality Laboratoryas much 50 people. The population is chosen because the working environment at the Water Quality Laboratory is quite possible to be used as research material.

The laboratory location is close to the genset machine and its noise is very noisy as well as the cleanliness and comfort need to get more attention. Furthermore, all of these employees become research respondents. Considering the number of population is small, so this research is a census research, that is research which use all population as research respondent. Techniques of collecting data in the form of documentation, interviews and questionnaires.

Conceptual Framework



- 1. Dependent variable, that is variables influenced by other variables. Dependent variable in this research is Performance (Y).
- 2. Independent variable, that is variables that affect other variables. Independent variable in this research is Work Environment (X).
- Intervening variable, that is variable which bridge influence of a dependent variable with independent variable. Interval variable in this research is Job Satisfaction (Z).

From the framework explained that the work environment variables have an influence on employee performance which means that if the work environment in the workplace fun and comfortable, then the employees will be more active in the work. While the work environment variables also affect the job satisfaction which means that if the work environment of employees in the workplace comfortable and safe then employees will work with pleasure and satisfied.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

Characteristics of respondents by sex shows that of the 50 respondents, the majority of women are 28 people (56%) and 22 people (44%) are male. Thus most of the dominant work at Drinking Water Company in Pandaan on Water Quality Laboratoryare female sex.

Characteristics of respondents by age shows that from 50 respondents, the majority of respondents aged between 21 to 30 years are 23 people (46%), then 19 people (46%) aged 31 to 40 years, 7 people (14%) aged 41 up to 60 years old and as many as 1 person (2%) aged 17 to 20 years. Characteristics of respondents based on the last level of education can be seen that the Drinking Water Company in Pandaan on Water Quality Laboratory of the most

dominant level of education is high school graduates, but most of them continue education to a higher level by taking Diploma / Bachelor while working, while the lowest is junior high school graduates. Characteristics of respondents based on length of work showed that from 50 respondents, the majority of respondents worked less than 5 years ie 20 people (40%), then 17 people (34%) worked for 11 to 15 years and 13 people (26%) worked more from 15 years. From Table 4.4. it can be seen that most of the dominant employees working at Drinking Water Company in Pandaan on Water Quality Laboratory. is the majority have worked for 11-15 years. It shows that employees tend to have long working so that employees have high knowledge and experience a lot because of training and development routine done. Characteristics of respondents based on marital status indicate that from 50 respondents majority are unmarried respondents are as many as 28 people (56%), then 22 people (44%) are married respondents. So it can be said that most of the employees at Drinking Water Company in Pandaan on Water Quality Laboratory have not married status.

Table1.2. Respondent's Answer Descriptions for Physical Working Environment Variables

| | | D | Ι |) | ľ | 1 | A | A | S | A | |
|-------|---|---|---|---|----|----|----|----|----|----|---------|
| Items | | | | | | | | | | | Average |
| | f | % | f | % | f | % | f | % | f | % | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| x1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 6 | 24 | 48 | 23 | 46 | 4.4 |
| x2 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 6 | 15 | 30 | 15 | 30 | 17 | 34 | 3.92 |
| х3 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 16 | 32 | 16 | 32 | 16 | 32 | 3.92 |
| x4 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 6 | 24 | 48 | 10 | 20 | 13 | 26 | 3.66 |
| x5 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 6 | 11 | 22 | 26 | 52 | 10 | 20 | 3.86 |

Source: Primary Data (processed)

Table1.3. Description of Respondents' Answer to Non-Physical Working Environment Variables

| | _ | S | D | Г |) | N | 1 | F | A | S | A | |
|---------------------|------|---|---|---|---|----|----|----|----|----|----|---------|
| Variables | Item | f | % | f | % | f | % | f | % | f | % | Average |
| | x6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 27 | 54 | 21 | 42 | 4.38 |
| Non Physical | x7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 8 | 23 | 46 | 23 | 46 | 4.38 |
| Work Environment | x8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 26 | 26 | 52 | 11 | 22 | 3.96 |
| | х9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 8 | 32 | 64 | 14 | 28 | 4.2 |
| | x10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 16 | 26 | 52 | 16 | 32 | 4.16 |

Source: Primary Data (processed)

Table1.4. Respondent's Answer Descriptions for Job Satisfaction Variables

| Items | S | D | Ι |) | ľ | Ŋ | A | A | S | A | Average |
|------------|---|---|---|---|----|----|----|----|----|----|---------|
| | f | % | f | % | f | % | f | % | f | % | |
| z1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 6 | 13 | 26 | 26 | 52 | 8 | 16 | 3.78 |
| z2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 12 | 24 | 22 | 44 | 15 | 30 | 4.02 |
| z3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 6 | 10 | 20 | 22 | 44 | 15 | 30 | 3.98 |
| z4 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 14 | 28 | 20 | 40 | 15 | 30 | 3.98 |
| z 5 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 8 | 16 | 27 | 54 | 14 | 28 | 4.08 |

Source: Primary Data (processed)

Table1.5. Respondents 'Respondents' Answers to Employee Performance Variables

| T4 | S | D | Ι |) | N | 1 | A | A | S | A | A |
|-------|---|---|---|---|---|----|----|----|----|----|----------|
| Items | f | % | f | % | f | % | f | % | f | % | Average |
| y1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 30 | 60 | 17 | 34 | 4.26 |
| у2 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 6 | 3 | 6 | 32 | 64 | 12 | 24 | 4.06 |
| у3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 8 | 16 | 29 | 58 | 12 | 24 | 4.04 |
| у4 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 37 | 74 | 10 | 20 | 4.12 |
| у5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 18 | 30 | 60 | 11 | 22 | 4.04 |

Source: Primary Data (processed)

The format of a typical five-level Likert item:

- 1. Strongly Disagree (SD)
- 2. Disagree (D)
- 3. Neither agree nor disagree (N)
- 4. Agree (A)
- 5. Strongly Agree (SA)

Validity indicates the extent to which the measuring instrument to measure what is measured validity of an instrument item can be known by comparing the Pearson product moment correlation index with a significance level of 5% with the critical value. If the probability of a correlation result is less than 0.05 (5%) then it is declared valid and vice versa is not valid. Based on the test results it can be seen that all the question items for the variables X, Z and Y have the value of rount> rtable (0.279) and also the probability (sig) is less than 0.05 so it can be said that all question items for variables X, Z and Y have valid and can be used in this research.

Table 1.6. Reliability Test Item Questionnaire Questionnaire

| Variable | Alpha Coefficient | Information |
|------------------------------------|----------------------|-------------|
| Physical work environment (X1) | 0,816 | Reliabel |
| Non-physical work environment (X2) | 0,725 | Reliabel |
| Job satisfaction (Z) | 0,663 | Reliabel |
| Employee Performance (Y) | 0,747 | Reliabel |

Source: Primary Data (processed)

Based on the above it can be seen that the value of Alpha Cronbach on the physical work environment (X1) question variable of 0.816, the question variable Non-physical work environment (X2) of 0.725, Job satisfaction (Z) 0.663, and Employee Performance) of 0.747.

Residual Normality Test Model Path

The path model can be said to satisfy the assumption of normality if residual which is caused by the normal distributed path model. To test this assumption, Kolmogorov-Smirnov's test can be used against the residuals of each path model.

Table 1.7. Residual Normality Test Model Path

| Model Path | Kolmogorov- | Significance |
|--------------|-------------|--------------|
| Modelpath I | 1.178 | 0.125 |
| Modelpath II | 0.939 | 0.341 |

Source: Primary Data (processed)

Based on Table 1.7. it can be seen that the residual model path is spreading normally, since each Kolmogorov-Smirnov test significance of path model I and II is greater than α ($\alpha=0.05$), it can be concluded that the assumption of normality has been fulfilled.

Path Analysis

In this study, the analysis used to test the hypothesis that has been proposed is among the variables hypothesized by using path analysis. This analysis is used to determine the level of influence on a causal relationship, which is done from the survey results.

Table1.8. Result of Path Analysis X1, X2 to Y

| Variabl | Beta | t | Sig t | Information | | | | | |
|--------------------|-------|-------|-------|--------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| X | 0,276 | 2,125 | 0,039 | Significance | | | | | |
| Z | 0,416 | 3,202 | 0,002 | Significance | | | | | |
| t table= 2,009 | | | | | | | | | |
| R Square $= 0.344$ | | | | | | | | | |
| _ | | | | | | | | | |

Source: Primary Data (processed)

From the table above can be concluded that the value of R Square shows the value of 0.344 or 34.4%. This means that

Employee Performance (Y) is affected by 34.4% by Work Environment (X), and Job Satisfaction (Z). While the rest equal to 65,6% influenced by other variables outside independent variable under study. From the value of t_{count} shows that the Work Environment Variable (X) has a t_{count} of 2.125 with a probability of 0.039. Because $t_{count} > t_{table}$ (2,125> 2,009) or sig t <5% (0,039 <0,05) then variable X (Work Environment) have significant effect to Employee Performance (Y).

Job Satisfaction Variable (Z) has a t_{count} of 3.202 with probability of 0.002. Because t_{count} > t_{table} (3.202> 2.009) or sig t <5% (0.002 <0.05) then the variable Z (Job Satisfaction) have a significant effect on Employee Performance (Y). From the above explanation can be concluded that Work environment variables significantly influence employee performance and job satisfaction variables have a significant effect on employee performance at Drinking Water Company in Pandaan on Water Quality Laboratory..

Table 1.9 Result of Path Analysis X to Z

| Tubic 115 Ites | able 115 Result of Family Sis 11 to 2 | | | | | | | | | |
|----------------|---------------------------------------|-------|-------|--------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Variable | Beta | t | Sig t | Information | | | | | | |
| X | 0,415 | 3,160 | 0,003 | Significance | | | | | | |
| t table= 2,009 | | | | | | | | | | |
| R Square | R Square = 0,172 | | | | | | | | | |

Source: Primary Data (processed)

From the table above can be concluded that the value of R Square shows the value of 0.172 or 17.2%. This means that Job Satisfaction (Z) is influenced by 17.2% by Work Environment (X). While the rest of 82.8% influenced by other variables outside the independent variables studied. From t_{count} value indicate that Work Environment Variable (X) have t_{count} value 3,160 with probability equal to 0,003. Because t_{count} > t_{table} (3,160> 2,009) or sig t <5% (0,000 <0,05) then variable X (Work Environment) have a significant effect to Job Satisfaction (Z). From the above explanation can be concluded that the work environment variables significantly affect employee job satisfaction at Drinking Water Company in Pandaan on Water Quality Laboratory.

R²m calculation results indicate the diversity of data that can be explained by the model is 45.6% or in other words the information contained in the data 45.6% can be explained by the model. While the remaining 54.4% is explained by other variables (not yet contained in the model). Overall, the model in this study is divided into 3 direct effects, and 1 indirect influence.

The following table presents the results of testing of direct and indirect effects:

Table 2.0. Results of Direct Effect Hypothesis Testing

| Independent Variable | Dependent Variable | Path | p-value | Information |
|-------------------------|-----------------------|-------|---------|--------------|
| X | Y | 0,276 | 0,039 | Significance |
| Z | Y | 0,416 | 0,002 | Significance |
| X | Z | 0,415 | 0,003 | Significance |

Source: Primary Data (processed)

Table 2.1. Results of Indirect Effect Hypothesis Testing

| Independent | Intervening | Dependent | | |
|-------------|-------------|-----------|---------|--------------|
| Variable | Variables | Variable | Path | Information |
| X | Z | Y | 0,11481 | Significance |
| | | | 6 | |

Source: Primary Data (processed)

The indirect influence of the Working Environment (X) on Performance (Y) through Job Satisfaction (Z), obtained from the direct influence of Work Environment (X) on Job Satisfaction (Z) and direct influence between Job Satisfaction (Z) (Y), so the indirect effect of $0.276 \times 0.416 = 0.114816$.

DISCUSSION

The first stage of data analysis is a test of the validity and reliability of respondents' answers obtained through questionnaires. The next stage is testing the classical assumption that is the assumption of residual normality test. The next test uses path analysis that is to know the direct and indirect influence among the variables studied.

The purpose of this research is to know whether there is influence of work environment variable (X) to performance variable (Y) employee, to know whether there is influence of work environment variable (X) to job satisfaction variable (Z), to know whether there is influence of variable of satisfaction work (Z) on the performance variable (Y) employees.to know whether there is influence on indirect variable work environment (X), to variable performance (Y) through job satisfaction variable (Z).

The indirect influence of the Working Environment (X) on Performance (Y) through Job Satisfaction (Z), is obtained from the direct influence of Work Environment (X) on Job Satisfaction (Z) and direct influence between Job Satisfaction (Z) (Y), so the indirect effect of 0.276 x 0.416 = 0.114816.Because of the direct influence between Work Environment (X) on Job Satisfaction (Z) and the direct influence between Job Satisfaction (Z) on Performance (Y) significant, indirect influence between Work Environment (X) on Performance (Y) through Job Satisfaction Z) is also significant.

The Effect of Work Environment Variable (X1) on Performance (Y)

The results showed a significant influence between work environment variable (X) to performance variable (Y) on the employees of Drinking Water Company in Pandaan on Water Quality Laboratory. So the more comfortable work environment in work place perceived by employees resulted increasingly also performance of employees. Based on this research, the hypothesis stating that there is significant influence between work environment variable to employee performance variable is accepted.

The Effect of Job Satisfaction Variable (Z) on Performance (Y)

The results showed a significant influence between variable of job satisfaction (Z) to performance variable (Y) at employees of Drinking Water Company in Pandaan on Water Quality Laboratory. So the bigger job satisfaction perceived by employees resulted increasingly also performance of employees. Based on this research, the hypothesis that there is a significant influence between job satisfaction variable on employee performance variable is accepted.

The Effect of Work Environment Variable (X) on Job Satisfaction (Z)

The results showed a significant influence between work environment variable (X) to job satisfaction variable (Z) on the employees of Drinking Water Company in Pandaan on Water Quality Laboratory. So, the more comfortable work environment in the workplace that is felt by the employees resulted in the increase also the job satisfaction on the employees. Based on this research, the hypothesis that there is significant influence between work environment variable to employee job satisfaction variable is accepted.

The Indirect Effect of Working Environment Variables (X) on Performance (Y) Through Job Satisfaction (Z)

The result of the research shows that there is indirect influence between work environment variable (X) to performance variable (Y) through job satisfaction variable (Z) at Drinking Water Company in Pandaan on Water Quality Laboratory. So the more comfortable work environment in work place perceived by employees resulted increasingly also performance of employees. A company will definitely provide facilities that make employees comfortable in the work so that it can improve its performance.

Job satisfaction has to do with employee performance. Job satisfaction reflects one's feelings toward one's work. If employees feel satisfied with what they do then performance will increase and it gives benefits to the company. The condition of job satisfaction then becomes the feedback that will affect the performance of work or performance in the future. The result of research stated that the contribution of

job satisfaction variable can add total influence of work environment variable to performance.

CONCLUSION

This study was conducted to determine whether there is a direct and indirect influence between the work environment on job satisfaction and employee performance atDrinking Water Company in Pandaan on Water Quality Laboratory.

From the research conducted, Drinking Water Company in Pandaan on Water Quality Laboratory.has provided a good working environment to its employees both physically and non physically, besides the company also provide facilities needed by employees such as jamsostek, allowances and security at work.

Proven by the results of research that has been done shows the influence between the work environment on job satisfaction and employee performance. The following are the results of the research that can be concluded, among others:

- 1. There is a direct influence between the work environment on employee performance. This indicates that the more comfortable work environment in the workplace resulting in increased employee performance.
- 2. There is a direct influence between job satisfaction on employee performance.

This indicates that the increasing employee job satisfaction resulted in an increase in employee performance.

- 3. There is a direct influence between the work environment on employee job satisfaction. This indicates that the more comfortable working environment in the workplace resulting in increased employee job satisfaction.
- 4. There is an indirect influence between the work environment on performance through employee job satisfaction. This indicates that the more comfortable work environment in the workplace resulting in increased employee performance, if employee job satisfaction also increases.

RECOMMENDATIONS

Based on the results of the study, suggestions proposed are as follows:

- 1. Drinking Water Company in Pandaan on Water Quality Laboratory should maintain comfort in the work environment and pay more attention to things that are needed employees because it proved able to provide employee job satisfaction and also increased performance both directly and indirectly.
- 2. In order to improve the performance of employees, the leaders of Drinking Water Company in Pandaanshould always create a conducive working

atmosphere that can improve job satisfaction and ultimately will improve employee performance.

- 3. Based on the results of research indicates that the non-physical work environment is better than the physical work environment. Therefore, companies need to give more attention to the physical work environment so that employees more enthusiasm in work.
- 4. For further research should the researcher develop his research by using unrelated variables and variables between different. Thus, it is expected that the results of further research will be obtained different conclusions and can complement the results of this study.

REFERENCES

- Davis, Keith & John W, 2014, Perilaku Dalam Organisasi Edisi Ketujuh, PT. Gelora Aksara Pratama, Jakarta.
- Endo, P, 2014, Analisis Faktor Motivasi, Budaya Lokal, Lingkungan Kerja dan Kualitas Pegawai Berpengaruh terhadap Kinerja Pegawai Kabupaten Keerom Propinsi Papua, Jurnal Aplikasi Manajemen, Vol 9, No. 4.
- Khoiriyah, L, 2009. Pengaruh Upah dan Lingkungan Kerja Terhadap Kinerja Karyawan Pada CV. Aji Bali Jayawijaya Surakarta, (online), http://id.pdfsb.com/diakses pada 6 Desember 2012.
- 4. Kuncoro, Achmad Engkos dan Riduwan, 2011, Cara Menggunakan danMemaknai Path Analysis (Analysis Jalur), Bandung, Alfabeta.
- Pramudyo, A, 2012. Analisis faktor-faktor yang mempengaruhi kinerja dosen negeri dipekerjakan pada kopertis wilayah V Yogyakarta, Yogyakarta.
- Rachmawati, Kusdiyah, 2008, Manajemen Sumber Daya Manusia, PenerbitANDI, Yogyakarta.
- 7. Sekaran, U, 2006, Research Methods for Business (Metode Penelitian Bisnis) Buku 1dan 2, Salemba Empat, Jakarta.
- 8. Wulan, L, 2011. Analisis pengaruh motivasi kerja dan lingkungan kerja terhadap kinerja karyawan, (online), diakses pada 6 Desember 2012.
- 9. Simamora, H, 2010, Manajemen Sumber Daya Manusia Edisi III, Aditya Media, Yogyakarta.
- Sudiro, A, 2013, Pengaruh Komitmen Keorganisasian dan Kepuasan Kerja terhadap Kinerja tenaga Edukatif/Dosen, Jurnal Aplikasi Manajemen, Vol. 7, No 1, Februari, 2009, Hal 86-92.
- Wibisono, D, 2011. Manajemen Kinerja Korporasi & Organisasi: Panduan Penyusunan Indikator, Erlangga, Jakarta.

12. Wibowo, 2013, Manajemen Kinerja Edisi Kedua, PT. Rajagrafindo Persada, Jakarta.