

**THE EFFECTIVENESS OF SOCIO-DRAMA METHOD
IMPROVING STUDENT'S READING ABILITY
SKRIPSI**



MEGA DESI AMBARWATI

NIM. 20101111032

**PROGRAM STUDI BAHASA INGGRIS
FAKULTAS KEGURUAN DAN ILMU PENDIDIKAN
UNIVERSITAS MUHAMMADIYAH SURABAYA**

2014



**THE EFFECTIVENESS OF SOCIO-DRAMA METHOD
IMPROVING STUDENT'S READING ABILITY**

SKRIPSI

Diajukan untuk Memenuhi Salah Satu Syarat
Memperoleh Gelar SARJANA PENDIDIKAN

MEGA DESI AMBARWATI

NIM 20101111032

**PROGRAM STUDI BAHASA INGGRIS
FAKULTAS KEGURUAN DAN ILMU PENDIDIKAN UNIVERSITAS
MUHAMMADIYAH SURABAYA
2014**

MOTTO AND DEDICATION

MOTTO:

Verily, with every difficulty there is relief

Q.S Asy-syarh(94): 6

DEDICATION:

My beloved parents ,

And my brother and sister

PERSETUJUAN PEMBIMBING

Skripsi yang ditulis oleh Mega Desi Ambarwati ini telah disetujui oleh dosen pembimbing untuk diujikan tanggal 21 Juni 2014.

Dosen Pembimbing

Tanda Tangan

Tanggal

I. Dr. Dwijani Ratnadewi, M.Pd

II. Gusti Nur Hafifah, M.Pd

Mengetahui,

Ketua Program Studi

Linda Mayasari, SP.d, M.Pd

HALAMAN PENGESAHAN PANITIA UJIAN

Skripsi ini telah diuji dan dinyatakan sah oleh Panitia Ujian Tingkat Sarjana (S-1) Fakultas Keguruan dan Ilmu Pendidikan Universitas Muhammadiyah Surabaya sebagai salah satu syarat memperoleh gelar Sarjana Kependidikan pada tanggal 21 Juni 2014.

DosenPenguji:

Tanda Tangan

Tanggal

1. Dr. Dwijani Ratnadewi, M.Pd

2. Dr. Amrin Batubara, M.Pd

3. Linda Mayasari, M.Pd

Mengetahui,

Dekan Fakultas Keguruan dan Ilmu Pendidikan
Universitas Muhammadiyah Surabaya

Dr. M. Ridwan, M.Pd

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Firstly, all praises and gratitude are to Allah SWT for the blessing, strength, and guidance. *Sholawat* and *salam* are dedicated to my beloved prophet of Muhammad SAW.

The researcher realizes that he would not be able to finish this final report without supports from many people. So, the researcher would like to deliver my deepest gratitude to:

1. Linda Mayasari, S.Pd., M.Pd as the Head of English Department Program.
2. Dr. Dwijani Ratnadewi, M.Pd as first advisor. A great thanks for your time, advices, constructives, supports, criticism, and patience.
3. Gusti Nur Hafifah, S.Pd., M.Pd as second advisor. A great thanks for your contributions, support, and advices.
4. All lecturers of the English Department who have taught her worthy knowledge as a preparation for the future and improvement in her ability.
5. My beloved parents (Ibunda Muyasaroh and Ayahanda Mu'ir) who always love, pray, support and also motivate me during my study until completing this thesis.
6. My beloved brothers (David Pristiato Raharjo) and sister (A'aliyah Khoirun Nisa) who always bother and cheer up my days.
7. My best friends Anisa Rahmatillah and Nurul Fuadah Lailiyah who remain still as a unity to stand up until this period.
8. Half soul of my life whose name is unable to be spoken yet.
9. The members of Tapak Suci cab. 19 Universitas Muhammadiyah Surabaya
10. All the members of English Department (yuni, nana, kiki, catur, candra, puri, nuh, anjar, mas eko and the other friends especially for eight semester students of 2013-2014. Thanks for the togetherness.

11. My friends in boarding house 122 (Patin, Pingoh, Piktong, Heni, Dhani, Eka and the other friend).
12. All people whose names could not be mentioned one by one. Thank you for everything.

Finally, the writer realizes that the research is far from being perfect. The researcher welcomes any endorsing suggestions and critiques. At least, the researcher greatly expects that this research will be useful and able to give contribution for the academic study and following research.

Surabaya, 23 Juni 2014

Mega Desi Ambarwati

NIM. 20101111032

TABLE OF CONTENTS

HALAMAN SAMPUL	i
HALAMAN LOGO	ii
HALAMAN JUDUL	iii
MOTTO AND DEDICATION	iv
LEMBAR PERSETUJUAN	v
LEMBAR PENGESAHAN	v
ABSTRACT	vi
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS.....	vii
TABLE OF CONTENTS	ix
THE LIST OF TABLE	xii
TABLE OF FIGURE	xiii
TABLE OF PICTURES	xiv
CHAPTER I: INTRODUCTION.....	1
1.1 Background of The Research.....	1
1.2 Statements of The Problems.....	5
1.3 Objective of The Research	5
1.4 The Proposal of the Hypothesis.....	6
1.5 Scope and Limitation of the research.....	6
1.6 Definitions of Key Terms	6
CHAPTER II: REVIEW OF RELATED LITERATURE.....	9
2.1 Reading.....	9
2.2.Teaching and Learning.....	10
2.3 Teaching Reading.....	10
2.3.1 Method in Teaching Reading.....	12
2.4 Socio-drama.....	14

2.5 The concepts of using Socio-drama Method.....	15
2.5.1 concepts of socio-drama.....	15
2.5.2 purpose using socio-drama method.....	16
2.5.3 steps of applying socio-drama method.....	16
2.6 Testing Reading.....	18
2.6.1 Pre-test.....	18
2.6.2 Post-test.....	18
CHAPTER III: METHOD OF RESEARCH.....	19
3.1 Research Design.....	19
3.2 Time and Location of Research.....	20
3.3 Population.....	20
3.4 Sample	21
3.5 Variables of the Research.....	22
3.6 Data Collection Technique.....	23
3.7 Research Problem	25
3.8 Data Analysis.....	27
3.9 The Technique of Examination	27
CHAPTER IV: FINDING AND ANALYSIS	33
4.1 Finding of the research.....	33
4.1.1 pre-test score of both classes.....	36
4.1.2 post-test score of both classes.....	36
4.1.3 student's score percentage improvement of passing grade	38
4.2 Data analysis.....	39
4.3 Discussion.....	43
CHAPTER V: CONCLUSION AND SUGGESTION.....	48

5.1 conclusion.....	48
5.2 suggestion.....	49
References.....	xv
APPENDIXES	
APPENDIX I	
APPENDIX II	
APPENDIX III	

THE LIST OF TABLES

Table:

1. The researcher's schedule	23
2. Pretest's score of Experimental class and Cotrolledd class	28
3. Post-test' Score of Experimental class and Cotrolledd class	30
4. Numbers of student's exceeding of passing grade in pre-test and post-test (controlled class)	31
5. Numbers of student's exceeding of passing grade in pre-test and post-test (experimental class)	32
6. The comparison percentage of Post-test for controlled and experimental class	39
7. Result of Kolmogrov-sminornov (K-S) 1	40
8. Result of Kolmogrov-sminornov (K-S) 2	41
9. Table of T-test group statistic 1	42
10. Table of T-test group statistic 2	45

TABLE OF FIGURE

1. Figure 1	22
--------------------	-------	-----------

TABLE OF PICTURES

1. Picture of activities

REFERENCE

- Baile, Walter F and Rebecca Walters. 2012. Applying sociodramatic method in teaching transition to palliative care. New York:
- Brown, H. Douglas. 2007. Principles of Language Learning and Teaching. San Francisco: United States of America
- Browne, Rollo. 2005. Towards a framework for sociodrama (unpublished thesis). Australia
- Fraekel, jack R and Norman E. Wallen. 2006. How to design and evaluate research in education. New York : mcgraw-hill*
- Hipotesis definition retrieved from <http://gultomhans.wordpress.com/2013/06/10/hipotesis-penelitian-2/>
- Kellerman, Peter Felix. 2007. Sociodrama and collective trauma. London: Jessica Kingsley Publishers
- Kelner, Lenore Blank and Rosalind M. Flynn. 2006. A Dramatic Approach to Reading Comprehension.
- Patel, M.F and Praveen M. Jain. 2008. English Language Teaching (Methods, Tools & Teaching). Jaipur: sunrise
- Perubahan kurikulum definition retrieved from http://pjg.sd.dikti.go.id/.../UNIT4_PERKEMBANGAN_KURIKULUM_.pd
- Pretest and posttest definition retrieved from <http://agesantun.blogspot.com/2013/01/pretest>
- Richards, Jack C. 2002. Approaches and Methods in Language Teaching. United States of America: Cambridge University Press
- Scanlon, Donna M, et al. 2010. Early Intervention for Reading Difficulties: The Interactive Strategies Approach. London: The Guilford Press
- Silberstein, Sandra. 1994. Techniques and resources in teaching reading. New York: Oxford University Press.

Stenberg, patricia. 2000.Sociodrama: who's in your shoes?. London: United State of America.

Sugiyono. 2013. Metode penelitian pendidikan. Bandung: ALFABETA