



**UMSURABAYA**

**UNIVERSITAS MUHAMMADIYAH SURABAYA**

**SKRIPSI**

**THE EFFECTIVENESS OF PROVERB IN INCREASING STUDENTS'  
WRITING ABILITY OF ARGUMENTATIVE TEXT AT  
BARUNAWATI SENIOR HIGH SCHOOL SURABAYA**

**WAHYU ALAM SARI  
NIM: 20131111032**

**Advisors:**  
**1. Gusti Nur Hafifah, S.Pd, M.Pd**  
**2. Armeria Wijaya, S.S, M.Pd**

**PROGRAM STUDI PENDIDIKAN BAHASA INGGRIS  
FAKULTAS KEGURUAN DAN ILMU PENDIDIKAN  
UNIVERSITAS MUHAMMADIYAH SURABAYA  
2017**

**THE EFFECTIVENESS OF PROVERB IN INCREASING STUDENTS'  
WRITING ABILITY OF ARGUMENTATIVE TEXT AT BARUNAWATI  
SENIOR HIGH SCHOOL SURABAYA**

**SKRIPSI**

**Diajukan Untuk Memenuhi Salah Satu Syarat Memperoleh Gelar Sarjana  
Pendidikan**

**WAHYU ALAM SARI  
NIM: 20131111032**

**PROGRAM STUDI PENDIDIKAN BAHASA INGGRIS  
FAKULTAS KEGURUAN DAN ILMU PENDIDIKAN  
UNIVERSITAS MUHAMMADIYAH SURABAYA**

**2017**

## MOTTO

**“Berbuat baiklah,  
maka Allah akan memberimu yang Terbaik”.**

**“Bahwa hidup harus mengerti, pengertian yang BAIK.  
Bahwa hidup harus memahami, pemahaman yang BENAR.  
Dan, bahwa hidup harus menerima, penerimaan yang TULUS.”  
-Darwis Tere Liye**

### Dedicated to:

**This thesis is dedicated to my family,  
As honorable to my beloved mom “Ibu Riamah”,  
Who gave me everything that she had.  
She was my best friend ever, my great listener, my incredible woman in the  
whole world, Thank you mom.  
and my dad “Bapak Sandjoko”,  
The best dad ever, thanks for everything which made me always fell as your  
little princess  
And to my older sisters “mbak Santi and mbak Ayuk”  
I was nothing without you all  
-May Allah always bless you all in every step at your life. Aamiin.-**

## **HALAMAN PERSETUJUAN PEMBIMBING**

Skripsi yang ditulis oleh Wahyu Alam Sari ini telah disetujui oleh dosen pembimbing untuk diujikan tanggal 4 Agustus 2015

Dosen Pembimbing

Tanda Tangan

Tanggal

1. Gusti Nur Hafifah, S.Pd, M.Pd ..... ....

2. Armeria Wijaya, S.S, M.Pd ..... ....

Mengetahui,

Ketua Program Studi Pendidikan Bahasa Inggris

**Drs. H. Wijayadi, M.Pd.**

## **HALAMAN PENGESAHAN PANITIA UJIAN**

Skripsi ini telah diuji dan dinyatakan sah oleh Panitia Ujian Tingkat Sarjana (S1) Fakultas Keguruan dan Ilmu Pendidikan Universitas Muhammadiyah Surabaya sebagai salah satu syarat memperoleh gelar SARJANA PENDIDIKAN, pada tanggal 4 Agustus 2017

Dosen Pengaji

Tanda Tangan

Tanggal

1. Gusti Nur Hafifah, S.Pd, M.Pd ..... ....

2. Sulton Dedi Wijaya, M.Pd ..... ....

3. Linda Mayasari, S.Pd, M.Pd ..... ....

Mengetahui

Fakultas Keguruan dan Ilmu Pendidikan

Universitas Muhammadiyah Surabaya

Dekan,

**Endah Hendarwati, S.E, M.Pd.**

## **PERNYATAAN TIDAK MELAKUKAN PLAGIAT**

Saya yang bertanda tangan di bawah ini:

Nama : Wahyu Alam Sari

NIM : 20131111032

Fakultas : Fakultas Keguruan dan Ilmu Pengetahuan

Program Studi : Pendidikan Bahasa Inggris

Menyatakan bahwa Skripsi/KTI/Tesis yang saya tulis ini benar-benar tulisan karya sendiri bukan hasil plagiasi, baik sebagian maupun keseluruhan. Bila dikemudian hari terbukti hasil plagiasi, maka saya bersedia menerima sanksi akademik sesuai ketentuan yang berlaku di Universitas Muhammadiyah Surabaya.

Surabaya, 31 Juli 2017

Yang membuat pernyataan,

Wahyu Alam Sari  
(20131111032)

## ABSTRACT

Alam Sari, Wahyu. 2017. *The Effectiveness of Proverb in Increasing Students' Writing Ability of Argumentative Text at Barunawati Senior High School Surabaya.* Final Project. Faculty of Teacher Training and Education. Muhammadiyah University at Surabaya. Advisors: Gusti Nur Hafifah, S.Pd, M.Pd, and Armeria Wijaya, S.S, M.Pd.

This research presented the effectiveness of proverb in increasing students' writing ability in argumentative text. To what extend proverb can increase the students writings' ability and the students' response toward the use of proverb in writing argumentative text. The aim of the research is to describe the effect of proverb in writing argumentative text, then to know the proverb significant in increasing student writing ability and surely to know the students' response of the use of proverb in eleventh graders of Barunawati Senior High School

This research used Mixed method design. It related with the combination of quantitative design and also qualitative design. The quantitative data was from the result of pretest and posttest of both classes, and then the qualitative was from the posttest writing paragraph of experimental class, and the questionnaire. This researcher conducts in Barunawati Senior High School Surabaya at eleventh graders.

The result of the analysis showed that proverb has effect in increasing students' writing ability, especially in the content, and also proverb can strengthen the arguments, and surely proverb can be as technique in writing argumentative text.

Keywords: Proverb, Writing, Argumentative text.

## **ACKNOWLEDGEMENT**

Alhamdulillahirobbil'alamin, big thanks to Allah SWT, the owner of the universe who gave me everything which I need in the each best moment ever, who give strength, patience, love and everything else. I wish a prayer to the Almighty who blessed me during the writing.

Meanwhile, as the researcher I would like to express my sincere thanks to:

1. Endah Hendarwati, S.E M.Pd as the Dean of Faculty of teacher training and education, Muhammadiyah University at Surabaya.
2. Drs. H. Wijayadi M.Pd as the head of English Department Muhammadiyah University at Surabaya, for giving me the permission to conduct this research.
3. Gusti Nur Hafifah, S.Pd, M.Pd and Armeria Wijaya, S.S, M.Pd as my advisors, for their advice, patience, valuable guidance, encouragement in finishing this research
4. All lectures at the English Department Muhammadiyah University of Surabaya, who have motivated, supported and also incredible knowledge during the study.
5. Slamet Santoso, S.Pd, as English Teacher in Barunawati Senior High School Surabaya, and All members of XI IPA2 and XI IPA 3, thank you very much.
6. My beloved mom, my dad, my sisters, my nieces and also my nephew, thanks for everything, I'm nothing without you all.

7. All of teachers and all fabulous students in MERRY EDUCATION course who always give me support and give me chance to be a better teacher,
8. All member of CIE CLASS, thanks for our togetherness for 4 years, and support me in finishing this thesis.
9. All people whose names I cannot mention one by one. Thanks for everything.

Finally, I realize that my thesis is far from being perfect, therefore I welcome any comments, suggestion and critique.

Surabaya, July 31<sup>st</sup> 2017

The Researcher,

Wahyu Alam Sari  
(20131111032)

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Pages
COVER PAGE.....	i
HALAMAN JUDUL.....	ii
MOTTO AND DEDICATION .....	iii
HALAMAN PERSETUJUAN PEMBIMBING .....	iv
HALAMAN PENGESAHAN PANITIA UJIAN .....	v
PERNYATAAN TIDAK MELAKUKAN PLAGIAT .....	vi
ABSTRACT .....	vii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS .....	viii
TABLE OF CONTENTS .....	x
LIST OF TABLES .....	xiv
LIST OF CHARTS .....	xvi
LIST OF FIGURES .....	xvii
LIST OF APPENDICES .....	xviii

## **CHAPTER I INTRODUCTION**

1.1 Background of the Study.....	1
1.2 Research Questions .....	4
1.3 Objective of the Study.....	5
1.4 Hypothesis.....	5
1.5 Significance of The Study .....	5
1.6 Scope and Limitation of The Study .....	5
1.7 Definition of Key Terms .....	5

## **CHAPTER II REVIEW OF THE RELATED LITERATURE**

2.1 Writing .....	7
2.2 Teaching Writing .....	8
2.3 Argumentative Text .....	9
2.3.1 The Definition of Argumentative Text .....	9
2.3.2 The Type of Argumentative Text .....	10

2.3.3 The Argumentative Text consists on the Syllabus .....	11
2.4 Proverb .....	12
2.4.1 The Nature of Proverb .....	12
2.4.2 Proverb as Media .....	12
2.5 How to Teach Writing Argumentative Text using .....	13
2.5.1 Pre-Writing activity .....	13
2.5.2 Writing activity .....	13
2.5.3 Post-Writing activity .....	13
2.6 Review of Previous Study .....	13

### **CHAPTER III RESEARCH METHODOLOGY**

3.1 Research Design.....	15
3.2 Subject of The Study.....	18
3.2.1 Population .....	18
3.2.2 Sample .....	18
3.3 Research Variable .....	18
3.3.1 Independent Variable .....	18
3.3.2 Dependent Variable .....	19
3.4 Research Instrument.....	19
3.4.1 Pre-test .....	19
3.4.2 Post-test .....	19
3.4.3 Questionnaire .....	19
3.5 Data Collection Technique.....	20
3.6 Quantitative Data Analysis Technique .....	22
3.6.1 Validity .....	22
3.6.2 Reliability .....	23
3.6.3 Normality Test .....	25
3.6.4 Homogeneity Test .....	25
3.6.5 T- Test .....	26
3.6.6 Computing Mean .....	27
3.6.7 Computing Standard Deviation .....	27
3.6.8 Computing Standard Error of the Differences .....	28

3.6.9 Computing Degree of Freedom .....	28
3.7 Qualitative Data Analysis Technique .....	28

## **CHAPTER IV FINDING AND DISCUSSION**

4.1 The Effectiveness of Proverb in Increasing Students' Writing Ability of Argumentative Text.....	29
4.1.1 The Result Validity and Reliability .....	30
4.1.2 The Result of Pretest (both classes).....	32
4.1.3 The Result of Posttest (both classes) .....	34
4.1.4 The Percentage Both Classes of Pretest and Posttest .....	35
4.1.4.1 The Percentage of Pretest and Posttest score of Experimental Group .....	35
4.1.4.2 The Percentage of Pretest and Posttest score of Control Group .....	35 .
4.1.4.3 The Comparison Percentage Both Classes Posttest .....	36
4.1.5 The Result of Normality test .....	36
4.1.5.1 Test of Normality Distribution of Both Classes (pretest) .....	36
4.1.5.2 Test of Normality Distribution of Both Classes (posttest) .....	37
4.1.6 The Result of Homogeneity .....	39
4.1.7 The Result of T-Test .....	40
4.2 To What Extend Proverb can Increase Students' Writing Ability of Argumentative text at Eleventh Graders .....	45
4.3 The Students' Responses toward the Use of Proverb in Argumentative text .....	57

## **CHAPTER V CONCLUSION AND SUGGESTION**

5.1 Conclusion .....	63
5.2 Suggestion .....	64
5.2.1 For The Teacher .....	64
5.2.1 For The Students .....	64

5.2.1 For The Next Researcher .....	64
<b>REFERENCES</b> .....	65
<b>APPENDICES</b> .....	57
<b>BIOGRAPHY</b> .....	127

## **LIST OF TABLES**

	Pages
Table 3.1 The Subject, Pretest and Posttest, Experimental class and Control class .....	17
Table 3.2 The Procedure of Data Collection .....	20
Table 3.3 The Validity Content .....	22
Table 3.4 The Validation Result by Validator .....	23
Table 4.1 The Result of Validity .....	30
Table 4.2 The Criteria of Reliability .....	31
Table 4.3 The Result of Analyzing Reliability .....	32
Table 4.4 The Pretest Score of Both Classes .....	32
Table 4.5 The Posttest Score of Both Classes .....	34
Table 4.6 The Percentage of Pretest and Posttest score of Experimental Group .....	35
Table 4.7 The Percentage of Pretest and Posttest Score of Control Group .....	35
Table 4.8 The Comparison Percentage Both Classes Posttest .....	36
Table 4.9 The Result of Kolmogorov-Smirnov Test (pretest) .....	37
Table 4.10 The Result of Kolmogorov-Smirnov Test (posttest). ....	38
Table 4.11 The Result of Homogeneity .....	40
Table 4.12 The Result of T-Test .....	41
Table 4.13 The Result Posttest of Both Classes .....	46
Table 4.14 The Tabulation of All Aspects Pretest and Posttest of Experimental class .....	47

Table 4.15 The Significance of The Posttest in Format Aspect .....	48
Table 4.16 The Significance of The Posttest in Mechanic Aspect .....	49
Table 4.17 The Significance of The Posttest in Content Aspect.....	50
Table 4.18 The Significance of The Posttest in Organization Aspect .....	51
Table 4.19 The Significance of The Posttest in Grammar and Sentence Structure Aspect .....	51
Table 4.20 The Result of Students' Questionnaire in Question's number 1-9 .....	58
Table 4.21 The Result of Students' Questionnaire in Questions' Number 10 .....	58

## **LIST OF CHARTS**

	Pages
Chart 4.1 The Mean Comparison of Both Classes in Pretest and Posttest .....	44
Chart 4.2 The Significant Difference in each Aspect of Experimental Class (pretest and posttest) .....	48
Chart 4.3 The Significant Difference in each Aspect of Experimental Class and Control Class (posttest).....	57
Chart 4.4 The Percentage of Students' Questionnaire in Question's number 1-9 .....	61
Table 4.5 The Percentage of Students' Questionnaire in Question's number 10 .....	61

## **LIST OF FIGURES**

	Pages
Figure 3.1 Explanatory Sequential Design Model .....	15
Figure 3.2 Mixed Method Sequential Explanatory to Measure and Explore The Effectiveness of Proverb in Increasing Students' writing Ability of Argumentative text .....	16

## **APPENDICES**

	Pages
Appendix 1 Syllabus .....	67
Appendix 2 Scoring rubric .....	78
Appendix 3 T - table .....	73
Appendix 4 The Result of Reliability .....	81
Appendix 5 The Result of Pretest of Experimental and Control Class .....	83
Appendix 6 The Result of Posttest of Experimental and Control Class .....	84
Appendix 7 Posttest of Experimental in each Aspects .....	85
Appendix 8 Posttest of Control in each Aspects .....	86
Appendix 9 Posttest of Experimental and Control in Format Aspects .....	87
Appendix 10 Posttest of Experimental and Control in Mechanic Aspect .....	90
Appendix 11 Posttest of Experimental and Control in Content Aspects .....	93
Appendix 12 Posttest of Experimental and Control in Organization Aspects .....	96
Appendix 13 Posttest of Experimental and Control in Grammar and Sentence Structure Aspect.....	99
Appendix 14 Proverb Material.....	102
Appendix 15 Lesson Plan of Experimental Class .....	105
Appendix 16 Lesson Plan of Control Class .....	113
Appendix 17 Berita Acara Bimbingan Skripsi .....	119

Appendix 18 Surat Keterangan Penelitian .....	120
Appendix 19 Worksheets .....	121
Appendix 20 Kuesioner Respon Siswa .....	123
Appendix 21 Documentation during Tests .....	126

## REFERENCES

- Ary, D et al. 2010. *Introduction to Research in Education. 8<sup>th</sup> edition.* USA: Wadsworth, Cengage Learning.
- Bachman, LF & Palmer, A.S. (1996). *Language Testing in Practice.* Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Bartz, Albert E. 1976. *Basic Statistical concepts in Education and the behavioral sciences.* US: Burgess publishing company.
- Brown, H. D. 2004. *Language Assessment: Principles and Classroom Practices.* Longman: Pearson Education
- Butler, Christoper. (2006). *Statistic in Linguistics.* Oxford: Basil Blackwell.
- Creswell, John. W. 2012. *Educational Research 4<sup>th</sup> editions.* England: Pearson
- Feez, S & H Joyce. 1998. *Writing skills: Narrative and non-Fiction text types.* Australia: Phoenix Education Pty Ltd.
- Fluit, Jan. 2001. *Teaching Argumentative text through Film.* Teaching English of Second Language Journal.
- Halis, Gozpinar. 2011. *English teachers' interest in Proverb in Language Teaching.* The Journal of International Social Research. Vol 7.
- Harmer, Jeremy. 2004. *How to teach Writing.* England: Pearson
- Harmer, Jeremy. 2007. *How to teach English 2<sup>nd</sup> edition.* England: Pearson
- Heaton, J.B. 1999. *Writing English Language Tests.* London: Longman
- Himmah, Faiqotul. 2015. *The Effectiveness of Writing Diary for students' grammar mastery at tenth graders of Yapita Senior High School.* Muhammadiyah University Of Surabaya

- Hogue, Ann, and Oshima, Alice. 2006. Writing Academic English. USA: Pearson Longman
- Knapp, Peter. And Watkins, Megan. 2005. *Genre, text, grammar, technologies for teaching and assessing*. Australia: University of New South Wales Press Ltd
- Lailiyah, Ni'matul. 2015. *The Effectiveness of in increasing students' speaking ability in the second year of Junior High School*. Muhammadiyah University Of Surabaya
- Mieder, Wolfgang. 2004. *Proverbs: a handbook*. United States of America: Library of Congress Cataloging
- Raiimes, Ann. 1983. *Techniques in Teaching Writing*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Sudrajad, Moch. 2015. *The Effectiveness of Inside-Outside by using cue card for students' speaking ability at seventh graders*. . Muhammadiyah University Of Surabaya
- Ur, Penny. 2009. *A course in language teaching: practice and theory*. UK: Cambridge University Press.