

TANJUNGPURA

by Levina Yustitianiingtyas

Submission date: 31-Aug-2025 12:33PM (UTC+0700)

Submission ID: 2738523489

File name: Artikel_Tanjungpura_Levina_dkk.docx (3.99M)

Word count: 6422

Character count: 35944

UNIVERSAL JURISDICTION FOR TERRORISM CRIMES : A STUDY OF TERRORISM AS CRIMES AGAINST HUMANITY

Levina Yustitiani¹, Al Qodar Purwo Sulisty², Anang Dony Irawan³, L.Ya Esty Pratiwi⁴

Abstract

Categorizing terrorism as an international crime is not wrong, because the international elements of the crime have been fulfilled. Where the crime of terrorism involves more than one country in which there is a legal system and application of jurisdiction. Several acts of terrorism have been recorded that have disrupted international security stability, including the Al-Qaeda network, ISIS and so on. In addition to terrorist groups in the world, there is also a well-known terrorist group in the Southeast Asia region, namely the Abu Sayyaf Group. This legal research aims to examine the universal jurisdiction of terrorism as an international crime, because the crime of terrorism can be said to fulfill the elements of crimes against humanity, which acts are carried out intentionally as part of a widespread attack, involving many parties and targeting all citizens. Violations of crimes against humanity are considered the most suitable formulation for trying crimes of terrorism under the jurisdiction of the ICC. The absence of a universal definition of terrorism has meant that until now terrorism has not been included in the jurisdiction of the ICC.

Keywords : terrorism; international law; crime against humanity

Abstrak

Mengkategorikan terorisme sebagai kejahatan internasional tidaklah salah, karena unsur-unsur internasional dari kejahatan tersebut telah terpenuhi. Dimana kejahatan terorisme melibatkan lebih dari satu negara yang di dalamnya terdapat sistem hukum dan penerapan yurisdiksi. Beberapa tindakan terorisme telah tercatat telah mengganggu stabilitas keamanan internasional, termasuk jaringan Al-Qaeda, ISIS dan sebagainya. Selain kelompok teroris di dunia, terdapat pula kelompok teroris yang cukup terkenal di kawasan Asia Tenggara, yaitu Kelompok Abu Sayyaf. Penelitian hukum ini bertujuan untuk mengkaji yurisdiksi universal terorisme sebagai kejahatan internasional, karena kejahatan terorisme dapat dikatakan memenuhi unsur-unsur kejahatan terhadap kemanusiaan, yang mana tindakan dilakukan dengan sengaja sebagai bagian dari serangan yang meluas, melibatkan banyak pihak dan menargetkan semua warga negara. Pelanggaran kejahatan terhadap kemanusiaan dianggap sebagai formulasi yang paling tepat untuk mengadili kejahatan terorisme di bawah yurisdiksi ICC. Ketiadaan definisi terorisme yang universal menyebabkan hingga saat ini terorisme belum masuk dalam yurisdiksi ICC.

Kata kunci : terorisme, hukum internasional, kejahatan terhadap kemanusiaan

¹ Fakultas Hukum, Universitas Muhammadiyah Surabaya, Jln. Sutorejo No. 59, Surabaya, Indonesia, email : levinayustitianiingtyas@um-surabaya.ac.id, Tel.081227987771.

² Fakultas Hukum, Universitas Muhammadiyah Surabaya, Jln. Sutorejo No. 59, Surabaya, Indonesia, email : alqodarpurwosulisty@um-surabaya.ac.id, Tel./Fax.08113451927.

³ Fakultas Hukum, Universitas Muhammadiyah Surabaya, Jln. Sutorejo No. 59, Surabaya, Indonesia, email : anangdonyirawan@um-surabaya.ac.id, Tel./Fax.082143473890

⁴ Fakultas Hukum, Universitas Muhammadiyah Surabaya, Jln. Sutorejo No. 59, Surabaya, Indonesia, email : l.ya.esty.pratiwi@um-surabaya.ac.id, Tel./Fax.085857375743

I. Introduction

Terrorism is a crime that is feared in society, because in some cases terrorism is a deadly attack. Terrorism is defined as an act that uses violence or the threat of violence that creates an atmosphere of terror or widespread fear, which can cause mass casualties and/or cause damage or destruction to vital strategic objects, the environment, public facilities, or international facilities with ideological, political or security disturbance motives. One example of a terrorism case that has attracted the attention of the international community was when the Al-Qaeda group from Afghanistan attacked the WTC Building in the United States on September 11, 2001. Several acts of terror also occurred including the Mumbai bomb attack in India on November 26, 2008, the bombing at the Moscow airport in Russia on January 24, 2011, the Boston bombing in the United States on April 15, 2013, the terrorist attack in Paris, France on November 13, 2015, the suicide bombing in Istanbul, Turkey on January 12, 2016, the suicide bombing in Afghanistan, March 27, 2016, and so on.

The expansion of the scope of terror acts that occur in various parts of the world, cannot be separated from technological advances, as a result of human culture that can bring positive and negative impacts. The positive impacts of technological advances, such as facilitating communication, data storage, faster data or information delivery at minimal cost, can be used by terrorists using the internet as a tool for fast, low-risk, and cheap information dissemination, ranging from potential recruitment to the potential for obtaining prospective partners in their organization.

For the Al-Qaeda group, for example, the internet is not only a method to achieve safer and faster media, but also a turning point for the rise of effective communication strategies compared to traditional media.(Sarinastiti and Vardhani 2018) This is the antinomy of the use of technology where the internet should be a medium for people who long for peace or stability as a result of technological progress. The use of the internet as a means of crime has given birth to a new form of terrorism, namely cyber terrorism. In essence, it can be said that cyber terrorism is a convergence between cyberspace and terrorism.

The ease of communication facilities available today has caused the easy spread of radical ideologies that are the seeds of terrorism. Even though various eradication efforts have been made, the number of terrorist crimes throughout the world has not decreased until now. This is due to several possibilities, such as the existence of a political

background or interest, the existence of a broad, organized network that crosses national borders, and the existence of strong financial support.

The wide reach of the terrorist network has caused terrorism today to be cross-border, both in regional and global areas, the characteristics of which involve both countries, both the country of origin of the perpetrator and the country of the victim. Various international and national regulations have not been able to overcome the crime of terrorism as a transnational crime, therefore countries and even international organizations through legal instruments are trying to overcome and/or handle the crime of terrorism, both on a regional and international scale.

Not yet there is an agreement between countries in the world on how to enforce the law if this terrorism case is resolved at the international level through the international judicial body (International Criminal Court/ICC). The ICC, which was established based on the Rome Statute of 1998, has the authority to try crimes of genocide, war crimes, crimes of aggression and crimes against humanity. So far, efforts to resolve the law on terrorism crimes have been handed over to countries in accordance with their respective national laws.

ASEAN Region being one of the vital areas, in addition to being used as a place of domicile and a place of learning for terrorists, including Jemaah Islamiyah (JI), the Southeast Asian region also functions as a target for threats and targets of terrorism which is dominated by radical attacks in the form of bomb explosions, both on a high scale and on a low scale. The causes are various factors but the dominant ones are poverty factors, inequality factors, fanaticism factors and injustice factors (P., n.d.). Attacks are also carried out sporadically against other religious communities which are considered to have sentiments and indicate opposing patterns. The countries in Southeast Asia that are targeted by terrorism are Indonesia, the Philippines, Singapore and Thailand. These terrorist attacks are feared to be able to disrupt the security stability of the Southeast Asian region.

The terrorist group in the Southeast Asia region that has attracted a lot of international attention is the Abu Sayyaf Group which has its base in the Southern Islands of the Philippines, namely in the Jolo and Basilan regions. This group not only carries out its terror acts in the Philippines but also carries out acts of violence and kidnappings in neighboring countries such as Malaysia and Indonesia. This group carries out acts of terrorism such as kidnapping, attacks, hijacking ships, bombings and so on. This group does not hesitate to behead its hostages if they are not given a ransom in the form of

money within a specified time. Several Indonesian crew members were once hostages of the Abu Sayyaf group and they were treated inhumanely.(Pujayanti 2016)

In some cases above, it can be said that in acts of terrorism there are political and legal elements, as well as humanity, so that some experts include acts of terrorism as a form of crime against humanity(Riza 2002). This is due to several possibilities, such as having a political background or interest, having a wide, organized network that crosses national borders, and having strong financial support. The wide reach of the terrorist network has caused terrorism today to cross national borders, both countries in the regional area and in the global area, the characteristics of which both involve countries, both the country of origin of the perpetrator and the country of the victim. Various international and national regulations have not been able to overcome the crime of terrorism.

Various acts of terror in the world show that the legal settlement of terrorism crimes until now the perpetrators have not been able to be tried at the ICC, this is because the ICC does not have the authority to try these crimes. Terrorism cases so far have only been resolved through the national law of the victim country. Legal research focuses on the issue of the extent of the ICC's jurisdiction over terrorism crimes that can be categorized as crimes against humanity.

II. Method

The research method in writing this law uses a normative legal research type(Muhaimin 2020), with the approach used being the statute approach by studying international conventions and laws and regulations related to terrorism regulations. The next approach used is the case approach by studying cases of terrorism to analyze how to resolve the law. The legal materials used are primary legal materials consisting of national and international legal regulations, secondary legal materials(Dr. Nurul Qamar, S.H., M.H.dan Farah Syah Rezah, S.H. 2020) in the form of reference books, journals and articles related to the theme taken in writing this law. The next legal material used is tertiary legal material in the form of news or data taken from websites or the internet.

III. Analysis and Discussion

3.1. Characteristics of Terrorism

The definition of the term Terrorism until now has not been universally applicable or can be used as a reference in relation to the crime of terrorism. This is because the

crime of terrorism is so complex, both concerning the background of the emergence of the crime of terrorism, concerning various aspects of life, the involvement of citizens of a country as perpetrators of terrorism, and the impacts caused by the crime of terrorism. One fairly old legal definition of terrorism, as contained in The Convention for the Prevention and Punishment of Terrorism, 1937 which states that : "Terrorism as criminal acts directed against a state and intended or calculated to create a state of terror in the minds of particular persons, or group of persons or the general public".(Convention for the Prevention and Punishment of Terrorism 1998) The definition of terrorism in this convention emphasizes more on the goals and/or consequences arising from acts of terrorism, namely a situation of horror or chaos resulting from violence or cruel acts. According to the CIA (US Central Intelligence Agency), terrorism is the threat or use of violence for political purposes carried out by individuals or groups, in the name of or against a legitimate government, by frightening the wider community than the direct victims of terrorism.(King 2007)

The phenomenon of terrorism has become a concern or concern for the international community including the UN. The General Assembly in Resolution Number 49/60 (adopted on December 9, 1994) with the Title: "Measures to Eliminate International Terrorism," explains terrorism, as a criminal act intended or calculated to cause a state of terror in the general public, a group of people or certain people for political interests under no circumstances can be justified, whatever political considerations, philosophy, ideology, race, ethnicity, religion or any other nature that can be called to justify them.

In Indonesian law, the definition of terrorism as a criminal act can be found in Article 1 number 1 of PERPU RI No.1 of 2002 concerning the Eradication of Criminal Acts of Terrorism (PERPU RI Nomor 1 Tahun 2002 Tentang Pemberantasan Tindak Pidana Terorisme 2002), that: "criminal acts of terrorism are acts that fulfill the elements of a criminal act in accordance with the provisions of this Government Regulation in Lieu of Law". Meanwhile, criminal acts of terrorism, according to Article 6 of PERPU RI No. 1 of 2002 are :

"Any person who intentionally uses violence or the threat of violence to create an atmosphere of terror or fear in people on a widespread basis or causes mass casualties, by depriving others of their freedom or lives and property, or causing damage or destruction to vital strategic objects or the environment or public facilities or international facilities."

Indonesian legal regulations and several updates to regulatory products show that Indonesia is one of the countries that holds a strong commitment to preventing and eradicating criminal acts of terrorism. The birth of these regulations was influenced by the bomb terror that had occurred in Indonesia, namely during the Bali Bombing I and Bali Bombing II, where at that time Indonesia did not have specific regulations related to criminal acts of terrorism.

The existence of various types of acts in acts of terrorism in the world makes it difficult for the international community to agree on the definition of terrorism, but it can be underlined that terrorism is basically a crime committed with the intention of forcing, intimidating, or terrorizing civilians or governments, with ideological, religious, and political motives. The Convention for the Suppression of the Financing of Terrorism, 1999, defines terrorism as follows : "Any other act intended to cause death or serious bodily injury to a civilian, or to any other person not taking an active part in the hostilities in a situation of armed conflict, when the purpose of such act, by its nature or context, is to intimidate a population, or to compel a government or an international organization to do or to abstain from doing any act."

In the convention, there are five important elements contained therein, namely behavior, victims, transnational, intent, and motive. In relation to this, the purpose of terrorism is to create and spread terror among a certain population, to shake or destroy the institutional structure of a country. The creation and spread of fear is mainly used as a means to advance ideological, religious, or political motives. James Wolfe, as quoted by Fx. Adji Samekto, stated that there are several characteristics of terrorism, including the following(Mulkan, n.d.):

- a. Terrorism can be politically or non-politically motivated
- b. The targets of terrorist acts can be civilians (supermarkets, shopping centers, schools, places of worship, hospitals) and other public facilities, as well as civilian targets (army camps)
- c. Acts of terrorism can be used to threaten or influence government policy.
- d. Terrorist acts are carried out with acts that do not respect international law or international ethics. The attacks are deliberately carried out to destroy civilians when they occur.
- e. Terrorist activities create a sense of insecurity and cause psychological problems for society.

- f. Preparation or planning of terrorist acts can be transnational. This ¹ means that the perpetrators of terrorist acts can be citizens of the country itself or foreign citizens, or a combination of both.
- g. The short-term goal of terrorist acts is to attract media and public attention.
- h. Terrorist activities have a surprising element that is very useful for terrorists themselves in attracting attention. Therefore, the impact of terrorist activities always seems cruel, brutal and does not respect human values.

As mentioned above, looking at its history, terrorism has become a very serious problem in the international community, including in Indonesia, especially after the Bali bombings in November 2002. Of course, all forms of terrorism cannot be justified, whatever the motivation, whenever the place and whoever the target. As a country that has an obligation to protect the dignity of its citizens, Indonesia has created laws and regulations governing terrorism in order to protect citizens from acts of terrorism.

Some examples of the definition of terrorism above show that the goal of terrorism is to make people afraid, and to attract the attention of members of society, even the state. Terrorism is carried out ³ as a psychological weapon to create an atmosphere of panic, uncertainty and create distrust of the government, and to force society or certain groups to follow the wishes of the perpetrators of terror. These acts of violence and coercion are put forward to fight enemies who are considered to have threatened state security, in the sense of national political and economic stability. (Jawahir 2013) Based on the activities of the perpetrators of terror, several characteristics of terrorism can be observed, namely (Madjid 2018):

- a. It is coercive intimidation;
- b. As a means to achieve certain desired goals, often carrying out systematic destruction and/or murder;
- c. Victims are not the goal, but rather a means to create chaotic psychological and social conditions, namely killing one person to scare many people;
- d. The targets of the terror acts are selected, working in secret, but the goal is publicity;
- e. The message of the action is quite clear, even though the perpetrators do not always express themselves personally;
- f. The perpetrators are generally motivated by quite strong idealism.

Similar opinions, related to the characteristics of terrorists as stated by Hasnan Habib, namely first, the exploitation of terror as one of human weaknesses systematically; second, the use of elements of surprise and surprise, planning every act of terror; third, having strategic goals to achieve political goals and specific targets in general (King 2007). Thus, in short, terrorism has specific characteristics that are not found in conventional crimes, namely that it is carried out with careful preparation or planning, carried out systematically and widely, and organized in an orderly manner. It is also not uncommon for its actions, as mentioned above, to use weapons of destruction and mass destruction.

3.2. Terrorism as a Crime Against Humanity

Nowadays, terrorism has a wide and global network that threatens national and international peace and security. Academically, terrorism is categorized as an "extraordinary crime" and is also categorized as a "crime against humanity". Given such a category, its eradication certainly cannot use ordinary methods such as dealing with ordinary crimes such as theft, murder or assault. Terrorist crimes always use threats or acts of violence that threaten the safety of life without choosing who will be the victim. (Junaid 2013)

Terrorism can be simply defined as a planned act of violence that causes fear in society or civilians. Violence that occurs in terrorism can occur to the state or to certain groups. Terrorist acts are intended to threaten or intimidate so that the interests of a group of people can be fulfilled because the usual methods are no longer possible, therefore terrorists have the belief that violence is the most effective method to achieve targets that are reinforced by the interpretation of belief in an ideology partially. (Ayu Lukika 2023)

Romly Atmasasmita argues that from a sociological background, terrorism is a crime that is very detrimental to society both nationally and internationally, and is also a violation of human rights. Terrorism not only causes loss of life and damage to property, acts of terrorism also damage the stability of the state, especially in terms of economy, defense, security, and so on. Meanwhile, sociologically, acts of terrorism damage spiritual values in the order of community life by raising religious arguments as justification for these acts of terror. (Romli 2004)

In various countries in the world, terrorism crimes have occurred both in developed and developing countries, the acts of terror that have been carried out have claimed victims indiscriminately, which caused the United Nations in its congress in Vienna Austria in 2000 to raise the theme The Prevention of Crime and The Treatment of Offenders,

among others, mentioning terrorism as a development of violent acts that need attention. According to Muladi, terrorism is an extraordinary crime that also requires handling by utilizing extraordinary methods (Extraordinary Measure) for various reasons :(Junaid 2013)

- a. Terrorism is an act that creates the greatest danger to human rights. In this case the human right to life and the human right to be free from fear.
- b. Terrorism targets are random or indiscriminate, which tends to victimize innocent people.
- c. The possibility of using weapons of mass destruction using modern technology.
- d. The tendency for negative synergy between national terrorist organizations and international organizations.
- e. Possible cooperation between terrorist organizations and organized crime, both national and international.
- f. May endanger international peace and security.

³ Terrorism as a crime has developed into a transnational crime. Crimes that occur within a country are no longer viewed as the jurisdiction of one country but can be claimed to include the jurisdiction of criminal acts of more than one country. According to Romli Atmasasmita; terrorism in its development causes jurisdictional conflicts that can disrupt international relations between countries that have an interest in handling dangerous criminal cases that are cross-border in nature. ⁷ Terrorism crimes use one form of transnational crime that is very threatening to world peace and tranquility.

Terrorism is a form of violent crime committed by a person or group of people with urgent policies to prevent, protect, and deradicalize terrorism against civilian targets, both their communities and their families. Terrorist crimes from the perspective of international criminal law are crimes against humanity and extraordinary crimes. Terrorist crimes are equivalent to blatant ⁴ human rights violations, such as genocide, ethnic cleansing and other forms of serious human rights crimes. The eradication of terrorism crimes therefore cannot be carried out with conventional methods and approaches alone. This requires combining elements of different criminal justice systems and making decisions that must take into account the dynamics of national law.

Crimes against humanity in Article 7 (1) of the Rome Statute contains the definition of crimes against humanity. The acts themselves are mostly crimes of great suffering and do not necessarily occur, namely murder, torture, rape and forms of sexual abuse,

slavery, and forced exile. One of the characteristics or features of these crimes against humanity is that they are carried out intentionally as part of a widespread and systematic attack, involving many parties, and targeting all citizens, under the political encouragement of the state or organization to commit the crime, carried out systematically in accordance with the policies developed. (Rahmatullah 2022)

Based on the characteristics of terrorism, there are similarities in characteristics with serious human rights violations, especially crimes against humanity regulated by international rules and the Human Rights Court Law. In the definition of crimes against humanity in the 1998 Rome Statute, there are several important characteristics, namely the existence of an attack, the existence of a widespread or systematic attack, the existence of actions that are part of a widespread and systematic attack, and what is meant by with knowledge of the attack. The word attack is not always associated with armed conflict. Article 7 paragraph (2) letter a of the 1998 Rome Statute states: ... the multiple commission of acts referred to in paragraph 1 ... pursuant to or in furtherance of a State or organizational policy to commit such attack.

Characteristics of crimes against humanity include (Rahmawati 2022):

- a. Attacks are carried out repeatedly, related to the follow-up of organizational or state policies.
- b. Widespread and systematic, which means widespread referring to the large number of victims, while systematic means a plan to carry out an attack.
- c. The connection between the crime against humanity and the widespread or systematic attack. This condition will be met when a person or group of people commits one or more of the crimes against humanity under the direction of an organization or state policy.
- d. *With knowledge of the attack* requires that in order to be considered a crime against humanity, the perpetrator must know that his environment is undergoing a widespread and systematic attack.

Characteristics of crimes against humanity when compared with the characteristics of terrorism crimes, there are several similarities in characteristics that can be categorized as crimes against humanity as one form of serious human rights violations, namely attacks on humans that result in humans being injured or even killed. The perpetrators of crimes against humanity can be carried out by officials or the government,

or non-state actors. The explanation above regarding crimes against humanity, then terrorism crimes can also be categorized as crimes against humanity.

3.3. Universal Jurisdiction over Crimes Against Humanity

The early history of the implementation of universal jurisdiction began with the case of sea piracy since 1998. The history of universal jurisdiction comes from the practice of countries that became international customary law against piracy and robbers in the 1600s, before modern international law had the term. Piracy at that time began to be considered as *hostis humani generis* (the enemy of all mankind). This means that the concept of universal rights to try and punish has been recognized. Grotius recognized universal jurisdiction as the right of a state to try crimes committed outside its territorial jurisdiction if the crime is contrary to natural law and the law of nations.

In international crimes, the principle of universal jurisdiction applies to eradicate crimes that are included in international crimes. ¹Based on this principle, every country has jurisdiction to try perpetrators of international crimes committed anywhere without regard to the nationality of the perpetrator or victim. The rationale for the emergence of this principle is the assumption that the crimes committed are crimes against all mankind, and it is a common desire to eradicate these crimes, so cooperation is needed for all countries. Based on this, the demands made by a country against the perpetrator are on behalf of the entire international community. ⁸The requirements for a country to be able to apply universal jurisdiction are that ⁸the country has national legal provisions in trying international crimes and the crimes being tried are included in international crimes. (Pratiwi 2019)

Universal jurisdiction applied to crimes against humanity means that every country ¹has the right to arrest the perpetrators of the crime and punish them regardless of their nationality and the place where the crime was committed. ¹Universal jurisdiction according to Amnesty International is a jurisdiction where any national court can investigate, prosecute someone accused of committing an international crime without regard to the nationality of the perpetrator, victim or other relationship with the country where the court is located. ¹The main characteristic of an act or action that can be said to be an international crime is that the act must meet the requirements as a violation of the interests of the international community or "delicto jus gentium", and meet the requirements that the crime in question requires international handling. (Jawahir 2013)

For perpetrators of international crimes, every country has the right and obligation to arrest, detain, prosecute, and try the perpetrators of the crime wherever the crime was committed. Universal jurisdiction in international law aims to eliminate the phenomenon of impunity for perpetrators of certain crimes. International Criminal Law has several principles in determining the jurisdiction to try an international crime, namely the principle of *au dedere au punere* and the principle of *au dedere au judicare*. The principle of *au dedere au punere* means that perpetrators of international crimes can be punished by the country where the crime occurred (*locus delicti*), namely within the territorial boundaries of the country or extradited to the requesting country that has jurisdiction to try the perpetrator. The principle of *au dedere au judicare* is a principle that states that every country is obliged to cooperate with other countries in arresting, detaining, prosecuting and trying perpetrators of international crimes. (Jawahir 2013)

In general, the implementation of jurisdiction is based on the existence of a problem connection that can be regulated by a country. However, the principle of universal jurisdiction does not operate on the basis of a connecting factor that links a situation with the interests of the country. Eugene Kontorovich formulated the concept of universal jurisdiction from court decisions, so he concluded that: "universal jurisdiction is an exception to these sovereignty based principles of international jurisdiction. Universal jurisdiction crimes can be prosecuted by any nation, even if the forum state has no connection with the offense". Robert Cryer et al in his book also defines universal jurisdiction as: "jurisdiction established over a crime without reference to the place of perpetration, the nationality of the suspect or the victim or any other recognized linking point between the crime and the prosecuting State."

Based on several definitions above that have been explained by experts, what is meant by universal jurisdiction is the authority of each country to try certain international crimes without looking at the *locus delicti*, the nationality of the accused, or the nationality of the victim. The implementation of the principle of universal jurisdiction can only be carried out on certain international crimes. There is a difference between "core international crimes" and other international crimes. Core international crimes have generally been defined in the Rome Statute of 1998 from various international criminal courts and existing international instruments. In addition, core international crimes involve violations that are not only derived from the rules of international law contained in the convention, but also customary international law. The core international crimes in

question include piracy, slavery, genocide, crimes against humanity, war crimes. (Shaw 2008)

As previously stated, so far universal jurisdiction can only be applied in cases of international crimes according to the United States Supreme Court judge in the Hostage Case, namely: "an international crime is such an act universally recognized as criminal, which is considered as a grave matter of international concern and for some valid reason cannot be left within the state that would have control over it under normal circumstances". Thus, for a crime to be considered an international crime, it must fulfill the following conditions :

- a. The act is universally recognized as a crime, has been formulated as a crime in all criminal law systems in all countries. All countries condemn the act and determine the appropriate punishment.
- b. The crime must meet certain criteria as an international crime, namely that the perpetrator is an enemy of humanity and his actions are contrary to the interests of humanity so that international law enforcement must be carried out, through customary international law and international agreements, by punishing the perpetrator.
- c. Due to its nature which is very dangerous for the international community, it is very reasonable not to only give jurisdiction to a country which, under normal circumstances, has the right to implement it.

Classical international law mentions war crimes and piracy as international crimes that can be applied to universal jurisdiction. Article 404 of the Restatement (Third) of the Foreign Relations Law of the United States states that universal jurisdiction applies to piracy, human trafficking, attack or hijacking of aircraft, genocide, war crimes, and terrorism. The ICTY includes serious violations of the 1949 Geneva Conventions, violations of the laws or customs of war, genocide, and crimes against humanity as international crimes that require universal jurisdiction. The ICTR's jurisdiction includes genocide, crimes against humanity, violations of Article 3 together with the Geneva Conventions and Additional Protocol II of 1977. The Rome Statute of 1998 on the authority of the ICC mentions genocide, war crimes, crimes against humanity and crimes of aggression as its jurisdiction.

A crime can become an international crime in accordance with Cassese's opinion, which emphasizes that based on universal jurisdiction, a country has the authority to try

someone who commits an international crime and this is contained in the 1949 Geneva Convention and the Convention Against Torture and several international Conventions on Terrorism. Meanwhile, O'Brien emphasizes that several international crimes are already considered international crimes according to customary international law, namely piracy and crimes against humanity or war crimes.

Looking at the categorization mentioned above, in general, ⁸ the application of universal jurisdiction to international crimes can be in the form of the application of universal jurisdiction to international crimes by countries that are bound by a certain international legal instrument, and ⁸ the application of universal jurisdiction is carried out by all countries regardless of whether they are bound or not bound by a certain international convention. The application of universal jurisdiction can also be seen from the considerations given by judges of international and national judicial institutions (in this case for cases with international dimensions).

⁸ The application of universal jurisdiction is one of the differences between transnational crimes and international crimes, because this principle in practice is only recognized for cases of international crimes. The rationality of the principle of universal jurisdiction is rooted in the concept of the 1998 Rome Statute, namely *actio popularis*. Based on this concept, ⁸ the state acts on behalf of the international community, because as a member of the international community, the state has an interest in maintaining world order. The application of the theory of universal jurisdiction is considered an effective way to eliminate impunity at the national legal level.

In the case of terrorism crimes, the application of universal jurisdiction to determine whether terrorism is included in the level of crime that requires universal jurisdiction is still difficult to apply, although there are several rules or conventions that determine terrorism as an international crime. At least for now, there is a conflict to be resolved if there is no broader understanding of terrorism in national and international court forums, because including terrorism in the international court forum also presents problems in the definition and difference between transnational crimes and international crimes. Much attention must be paid to sorting out the impact of terrorism on these two types of crimes in order to determine the right forum to try terrorism crimes.

However, it is possible that in the future the application of universal jurisdiction for terrorism crimes can also be applied, because terrorism has begun to be considered an international crime because it has fulfilled international elements, and there are similarities with crimes against humanity. The widespread impact and terror and the consequences

caused make terrorism equated with crimes against humanity and there are elements of human rights violations in the crime.

Terrorism can also be categorized as a crime against humanity, as explained in the previous sub-chapter. Crimes against humanity are part of international law that has universal jurisdiction since the decision of the judges at the Nuremberg Trials stated in the Nuremberg principles, namely that any country can declare a court with jurisdiction over crimes in accordance with the charter. The application of universal jurisdiction has received support from various groups, and more and more countries are implementing universal jurisdiction through their laws and regulations, however, not many countries are then practicing it, especially for pure universal jurisdiction, namely jurisdiction that is applied to perpetrators of crimes who have no relationship whatsoever with the country that is trying them.

Thus, all countries, even though they have no connection with the nationality of the perpetrator or victim, or the place where the crime was committed, can legally process the perpetrator. Several countries such as Belgium and England already have national laws implementing this universal jurisdiction (Sefriani 206 AD). ⁸ The existence of universal jurisdiction is intended so that perpetrators of international crimes do not escape punishment wherever the perpetrator is.

Regarding the authority of the international judicial body in this case the ICC, basically the crime of terrorism is not included in the jurisdiction of the ICC, so it is not easy to find the relevance of the crime of terrorism with the crime of genocide or with crimes against humanity, because the regulations are placed in different conventions. However, in the international or national community, almost every sovereign state or international institution can criminalize the crime of terrorism into crimes against humanity. International law itself, both in the form of Conventions, in the form of Resolutions, and customary international law has developed to place terrorism as part of crimes against humanity. (King 2007)

It is important for the world to pay attention that the crime of terrorism can be tried at the ICC if the crime has a widespread impact, not only affecting one country, but also the crime has a bad impact or is detrimental to other countries, and the act of terrorism has become the concern of the international community which has an impact on a global scale, and can even affect relations between countries.

IV. Conclusion

Until now, although there is no universal definition of terrorism, terrorism is a heinous crime with the aim of terrorism to make people afraid, and attract the attention of members of society, even the state, and create distrust of the government, and force society or certain groups to follow the wishes of the perpetrators of terrorism. The crime of terrorism is a form of serious violation of human rights so that the crime can be categorized as a crime against humanity because it has similar characteristics. Crimes against humanity are part of international law that has universal jurisdiction since the decision of the judge at the Nuremberg Trials, so it is possible for perpetrators of terrorism to be tried at the ICC if the crime has had a widespread impact on an international scale.

Bibliography

Books:

Jawahir, Thantowi. Terorisme Negara, Kekejaman Konspiratif Menjinakkan Islam Fundamental. UII Press, 2013.

King, Faizal Sulaiman. Who Is The Real Terrorist ? Matera Publishing, 2007.

Mulkan, Hasanah. Buku Ajar Hukum Tindak Pidana Khusus. n.d.

Pujayanti, A. Upaya Pembebasan Sandera Kelompok Abu Sayyaf. Pusat Penelitian Badan Keahlian DPR-RI, 2016.

Riza, Sibudi. Terorisme Dan Konspirasi Anti Islam. Pustaka Al Kautsar, 2002.

Romli, Atmasasmita. Pengantar Hukum Pidana Internasional Bagian II. Heca Press, 2004.

Shaw, Malcolm N. "International Law: Sixth Edition." Cambridge University Press, 2008, 30.

Journal articles:

Ayu Lukika, Riviangela Win. Peran International Criminal Court (ICC) Dalam Penanganan Kejahatan Terorisme Sebagai Kejahatan Terhadap Kemanusiaan (Crime Against Humanity). April 2023. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/369911409_PERAN_INTERNATIONAL_CRIMINAL_COURT_ICC_DALAM_PENANGANAN_KEJAHATAN_TERORISME_SEBAGAI_KEJAHATAN_TERHADAP_KEMANUSIAAN_CRIME_AGAINST_HUMANITY.

Junaid, Hamzah. "Pergerakan Terorisme Dalam Perspektif Barat dan Islam." Sulesana Jurnal Wawasan Keislaman 8, no. 2 (2013): 121–22.

Madjid, Yasniar Rachmawati. "Konstruksi Pengaturan Kejahatan Terorisme Internasional

Dalam Perjanjian Internasional Dengan Tanggungjawab Integral." *Arena Hukum* 11, no. 2 (2018): 290–316.

Pratiwi, Dian Khoeranita. "Implementasi Prinsip Yurisdiksi Universal Mengenai Pemberantasan Kejahatan Perompakan Laut Di Indonesia." *Supremasi Jurnal Hukum* 2, no. 1 (2019): 121.

Rahmatullah. "Kejahatan Terorisme Sebagai Extraordinary Crime Dalam Perspektif Hukum Pidana Internasional." *Jurnal Ilmu Hukum* 2, no. 1 (2022): 50–51.

Sarinastiti, Eska Nia, and Nabila Kusuma Vardhani. "Internet Dan Terorisme: Menguatnya Aksi Global Cyber-Terrorism Melalui New Media." *Jurnal Gama Societa* 1, no. 1 (2018): 40–52.

Sefriani. "Penerapan Yurisdiksi Alien Tort Claim Act Dalam Perspektif Hukum Pidana Internasional." *Jurnal Hukum* 13, no. 2 (206AD): 190.

Indonesian Law:

PERPU RI Nomor 1 Tahun 2002 Tentang Pemberantasan Tindak Pidana Terorisme (2002).

Treaties:

Convention for the Prevention and Punishment of Terrorism (1998).

Internet:

P., Anshori. "DINAMIKA TERORISME DI WILAYAH ASIA TENGGARA DAN PENANGGULANGANNYA." [Http://Eprints.Undip.Ac.Id/75584/3/BAB_II.Pdf](http://Eprints.Undip.Ac.Id/75584/3/BAB_II.Pdf), n.d. Accessed May 18, 2024. http://eprints.undip.ac.id/75584/3/BAB_II.pdf.

Rahmawati, Yasniar. "Apakah Terorisme = Kejahatan Terhadap Kemanusiaan?" www.hukumonline.com, March 31, 2022. <https://www.hukumonline.com/klinik/a/apakah-terorisme-kejahatan-terhadap-kemanusiaan-1t6093956e0d893>.

TANJUNGPURA

ORIGINALITY REPORT

17%

SIMILARITY INDEX

14%

INTERNET SOURCES

11%

PUBLICATIONS

6%

STUDENT PAPERS

PRIMARY SOURCES

1	knepublishing.com Internet Source	4%
2	Submitted to President University Student Paper	3%
3	jurnal.unissula.ac.id Internet Source	2%
4	www.ijsshr.in Internet Source	2%
5	jurnal-stiepari.ac.id Internet Source	1%
6	journal.unnes.ac.id Internet Source	1%
7	dinastires.org Internet Source	1%
8	"Post-Conflict Justice", Brill, 2002 Publication	1%
9	repository.um-surabaya.ac.id Internet Source	1%

Exclude quotes On

Exclude matches < 50 words

Exclude bibliography On