Artikel Armeria Wijaya Augmenting

by Armeria Wijaya

Submission date: 07-Nov-2022 11:38AM (UTC+0700)
Submission ID: 1946707810
File name: Armeria_Article_5_concept.pdf (237.94K)
Word count: 2872
Character count: 16793

Augmenting Scientific Literacy through HOTS Instruction in EFL Classroom: Students' Perception

Armeria Wijaya
English Education Study Program, Faculty of Teachers Training and Education, Universitas Muhammadiyah Surabaya, Surabaya, Indonesia

Email: armeriawijaya@fkip.um-surabaya.ac.id

Abstract

This paper tries to denote students' perception of the current practice of applying Higher Order Thinking Skills in the classroom setting to enhance their scientific literacy. The traditional monotonous teaching of Sociolinguistics has driven the thought of this study. The pre-observation activity reveals that the sequence of classroom movement is taken as a tedious and impractical mode in linguistics learning. Students' presentation and classroom discussion as the learning strategy, which is defined in low-order thinking skills, let them sit in the classroom without being engaged with the discussion. In learning with higher-order thinking applied, the scientific literacy activities such as analyzing the sociolinguistics phenomena, evaluating them in a certain way, and establishing ideas for further research in the sociolinguistics area bring new perceptions to the learning.

Keywords: *Students' Perception, Scientific Literacy, HOTS*

INTRODUCTION

An individual is required to have the scientific knowledge to perceive and cope with life matters. That is why it is crucial to be a part of an enhanced "literate society" (Al Sultan et al., 2018). The term scientific literacy has been assumed to support this thought. It represents a mastery of science that enables the individual to engage in socio-scientific topics and to acknowledge the phenomena, practices, values, and ethics of science (Dawson & Venville, 2009). Exceedingly, studies on its historical background have shown that how people apprehend science is what scientific literacy pertains to (Deboer, 2000).

As none of the dominions, admittedly, is able to validly stipulate it, scientific literacy should not have any kind of test. To see when it achieves its goal, we may assume it happens when society is aware of the science and its activities that can be attained in several methods (DeBoer, 2000).

In harmony with what higher education students are expected to achieve –the results of their learning should give a contribution to their life whether academically or normally—the learning of science must be designed in a certain way. Specifically, the course Introduction to Sociolinguistics, which is the focus of this research, is designed to fulfill the students' need for this science.

Inadequacy of proficiency in sociolinguistics might result in a failure to transmit formality, politeness, solidarity, fellowship, and peer acceptance, which will have a detrimental effect on the communication result (Geeslin et al., 2018). It shows how essential competence of this science is for students. The former learning mode of this course seemed to be tedious and impractical. From the pre-research observation, the students were passive in the classroom activity and not excited about the lesson. Group presentation about a given topic drives classroom interaction. The question and answer session only invite some students to contribute. The rest of the students only become silent and passive audiences. Students' passiveness has been culturally fossilized in Indonesia, as being submissive is common and tolerable (Setyorini, 2018). It is also said impractical as the students never apply what they have learned to the society. Empirically, they only understand and are aware of the sociolinguistics phenomena.

A redesigned classroom instruction is then crystallized, from learning with lower-order thinking skills to higher-order thinking skills. The objective is to equip university students to act as change agents who are prepared to assume their participative roles as social beings (Setyorini, 2018). It has been widely documented that developing Higher Order Thinking Skills (HOTS) is crucial for a successful education and is the main objective of scientific literacy (Saido et al., 2015).

HOTS is memorizing capability and other advanced skills within a cognitive competence. As the students must deal with unfamiliar problems, hesitancy, inquiry, and predicament; these competencies can be invigorated (King et al., 2013). Driven by those rationales, the question to be investigated through the integration between frameworks of scientific literacy and higher-order thinking skills is formulated:

> What is the student's perception toward their scientific literacy after learning with HOTS instructions?

Literature Review

Even though there is no patent on a definition of Scientific Literacy (Deboer, 2000; Roberts, 2007), experts have described this notion. Firstly, what constitutes scientific literacy is what the wider populace should be aware of (Durant, 1994). Scientific literacy is multifaceted, encompassing science concepts and thoughts, the nature of science, and the interplay of both society and science (Laugksch 2001). Meanwhile, For many years, it has been regarded as a science education goal, indicating vast and useable knowledge of the sciences for general learning purposes rather than readiness for particular academic and professional careers (Deboer, 2000).

Furthermore, there are four aspects of scientific literacy. The first aspect is the knowledge of science. It includes the knowledge of facts, concepts, principles, laws, hypotheses, theories, and models of science. The second aspect is the investigative nature of science. It is about the ability to use methods and processes of science such as observation, measuring, classifying, inferring, recording and analyzing data,

communicating using a variety of means such as writing speaking, using graphs, tables, and charts, making calculations, and experimenting. It is also about the ability to emphasize hands-on minds-on science. Moreover, the third aspect is putting science as a way of knowing. The elements are: emphasizes thinking, reason, and reflection in the construction of scientific knowledge and the work of scientist; empirical nature in science; ensuring objectivity of science; use of assumptions in science; inductive and deductive reasoning; cause and effect relationship; the relationship between evidence and proof; the role of self-examination in science; and describe how scientist experiment. The last aspect of scientific literacy is the interaction of science, technology, and society. This point considers the impact of science on society, the inter-relationship between science, society, and technology, careers, science-related social issues, personal use of science to make everyday problems, and improve one's life, as well as a science-related moral and ethical issue (BouJaoude, 2002).

Higher Order Thinking Skills

It has been broadly known that lower-order thinking skills i.e., memorizing information are divergent from the higher ones. Traditionally termed, higher-order thinking skills conform to the Bloom Taxonomy which represents the top three levels "above comprehension." These skills necessarily involve the application of learning to allow analysis, evaluation, and synthesis to establish problem-solving, drawing conclusions, measuring, inferring, summarizing, and creative thinking abilities (Wilks, 1995).

The theory of constructivism also emphasizes that the extensive implementation of learning is needed by the pupils so that their cognitive capability can be well-trained after developing their knowledge (Saido et al., 2015). Teachers who purposefully and habitually implement higher-order thinking concepts, such as dealing with actual problems in class, stimulating open-ended discussions, and facilitating independent investigation experiments, obtain a useful chance of improving critical thinking abilities (Tanujaya et al., 2017).

METHOD

This study aims to investigate students' perception of their scientific literacy after being exposed to higher-order thinking instructions (in six meetings) during classroom activities. The data are analyzed qualitatively. To comprehend the nature of the phenomenon, qualitative reports primarily include substantial data collection. Qualitative researchers must have prior experience conducting field research in which they implement collecting information in a controlled environment (Creswell, 2012).

Instruments

Using a Likert scale questionnaire, the data of students' perceptions are obtained. The questionnaires are administered to 31 students, to accommodate the information needed from the course Introduction to Sociolinguistics in the EFL classroom. Taken from the 6th-semester academic year 2021-2022, the participants are the students attending Universitas Muhammadiyah Surabaya.

This instrument of data collection is elaborated by adopting the frameworks of scientific literacy (BouJaoude, 2002), which divide it into four aspects, integrated with the aspects of higher-order thinking skills. To validate the questionnaire, 8 (eight) experts are involved to conduct content validity (García de Yébenes Prous et al., 2009) by considering these items: essential; useful but not essential; and not essential (Vakili & Jahangiri, 2018). The result of the experts' panel is then statistically confirmed using the content validity ratio (CVR):

$$CVR = \frac{n_e - (N/2)}{N/2} = \frac{7 - (8/2)}{8/2} = 0.75$$

n_e represents the number of experts in the panel who have chosen "essential" for each item in the descriptor. Meanwhile, N represents all numbers of experts. The result of tabulation using the CVR formula is then compared with items of value presented in Lawshe's table. The items of a descriptor in the questionnaire are the preserved items after being valued as the results of CVR is 0.75 for 10 "essential" comments from the panel. It is higher than the minimum value (0.59) in Lawshe's table.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The result of the questionnaires is summed up in percentage to show positive responses ("strongly agree" and "Agree" answers) and negative responses ("Disagree" and "Strongly Disagree" answers).

Table 1. Statements of Students' Perception toward their Scientific Literacy after Learning with HOTS Instructions

HOTS
1. The classroom activities assist me to employ the sociolinguistic knowledge
2. I pay close attention and am active in class activities
3. The learning is attractive
4. The learning is practical
SL ASPECT 1
5. I understand the key concepts of sociolinguistics
6. I understand the principles of sociolinguistics
7. I understand the theories underlying the use of language in society
SL ASPECT 2
8. I can observe the sociolinguistic phenomena
9. I can classify the sociolinguistic phenomena
10. I can analyze sociolinguistic phenomena
11. I can write reports on the results of my observation of the sociolinguistic phenomena in my society
SL ASPECT 3
12. I can find evidence of sociolinguistics in society
13. I have a tight discussion with my group members as we analyze the sociolinguistic phenomena

14.	I can evaluate the sociolinguistic phenomena
15.	I can elaborate examples about the use of language in the society I live with
SL ASPECT 4	
16.	I can assess which materials (for Introduction to Sociolinguistics course) are good to be chosen
17.	I apply what I have learned in the society
18.	I can inform others in society about the language use phenomena that occur
19.	I can cope with social problems (related to the use of language)
20.	I can establish ideas for further research (in the sociolinguistics area)
21.	I am interested in having a career in the area of sociolinguistics
22.	I distinguish how close the inter-relationship between language, society, and technology are

This research divides the analysis and findings into five parts. The first part presents students' perceptions in terms of higher-order thinking aspects in the classroom instructions. As shown in the table, all participants contribute positive responses toward the classroom activities, their participation, and how they are interested in the learning as well as how worthwhile the learning is. In terms of the first aspect of scientific literacy, most students gain a proficient understanding of the concept, principles, and theories of science. Moreover, the students seem to have remarkable scientific skills, even though some of them potentially are decent. Furthermore, as seen in the result of the third aspect of scientific literacy, learning with HOTS instruction contributes propitious scientific knowledge to their social and academic interactions. Eventually, students pose positive perceptions toward some aspects of scientific literacy, except on dealing with actual social problems; linkages among language, society, and technology; and having a career in the area of the science, sociolinguistics.

Fig. 1. Students' Positive Perception



Figure 1 shows students' positive perceptions toward their scientific literacy which D1-22 are descriptions in the questionnaire. It presents how significant the positive and negative perceptions are different. We may assume that after being exposed to higher-order thinking instructions during six meetings of the Introduction to Sociolinguistics course, students' scientific literacy is satisfactorily-developed.

Sociolinguistics is broadly known as a science that studies language and its use. Yet more specifically, it is the only science that is concerned with language as a means of

communication and how people utilize it in their daily businesses (Holmes, 2013; Meyerhoff, 2006). Thereunto, the topic of research within this science is particularly about the individual, the usage of the language, and how and why it is used in different kinds of situation (Meyerhoff, 2006).

Not only educators but also English learners, whether becoming a researcher or not, should poses knowledge of language science. As a result, the learning of Sociolinguistics which was formerly considered a boring course to join should be reformed.

The learning before reformation focuses on students' understanding of the theories of sociolinguistics. As a result, students face difficulties to settle their research on sociolinguistics. Admittedly, it is necessary to poses such lower-order thinking skills and put a "meaningful learning experience" e.g. synthesizing and evaluating, as a prominence (Garver & Roberts, 2013). Learning with higher-order thinking instructions enables students to explore their skills. Students engaged with other students in discussions as to working out the designed instructions e.g., to observe, classify, analyze, evaluate, establish new ideas in research, and solve societal problems related to language use.

Students who are educated with the application of systematic scientific literacy activities strengthen their developmental process. Referring to the results of this present study, discovers students' development of thinking processes and satisfactorily augment their scientific literacy. Not only do they pose both lower and higher-order thinking skills, but also are able to employ skills of sociolinguistic science in their lives.

CONCLUSION

Overall, the learning of Introduction to Sociolinguistics (science about language and society) by implementing higher-order thinking skills instructions has augmented students' scientific literacy. The classroom activities run in sequences that enable students not only to achieve lower and higher-order thinking skills but also contribute chances to apply what they have learned (scientific literacy). Additionally, the passive students decreased respectively. Eventually, this present study offers chances to deeper studies about lecturers' or teachers' scientific literacy.

REFERENCES

Al Sultan, A., Henson, H., & Fadde, P. J. (2018). Pre-service elementary teachers' scientific literacy and self-efficacy in teaching science. *IAFOR Journal of Education*, *6*(1), 25–42. https://doi.org/10.22492/IJE.6.1.02

BouJaoude, S. (2002). Balance of scientific literacy themes in science curricula: The case of Lebanon. *International Journal of Science Education*, *24*(2), 139–156. https://doi.org/10.1080/09500690110066494

Creswell, J. W. (2012). *Educational Research: Planning, Conducting, and Evaluating Quantitative and Qualitative Research* (4th ed.). Pearson.

Dawson, V., & Venville, G. J. (2009). High-school students' informal reasoning and argumentation about biotechnology: An indicator of scientific literacy? *International Journal of Science Education*, *31*(11), 1421–1445. https://doi.org/10.1080/09500690801992870

Deboer, G. E. (2000). Scientifc Literacy: Another Look at Its Historical and Contemporary Meanings and Its Relationship to Science Education Reform. *John Wiley & Sons, Inc. J Res Sci Teach*, *37*(6), 582.

DeBoer, G. E. (2000). Scientific literacy: Another look at its historical and contemporary meanings and its relationship to science education reform. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, *37*(6), 582–601. https://doi.org/10.1002/1098-2736(200008)37:6<582::AID-TEA5>3.0.CO;2-L

Durant, J. (1994). What is scientific literacy? *European Review*, *2*(1), 83–89. https://doi.org/10.1017/S1062798700000922

García de Yébenes Prous, M. J., Rodríguez Salvanés, F., & Carmona Ortells, L. (2009). Validation of questionnaires. *Reumatologia Clinica*, *5*(4), 171–177. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.reuma.2008.09.007

Garver, M. S., & Roberts, B. A. (2013). Flipping & Clicking Your Way to Higher-Order Learning. *Marketing Education Review*, *23*(1), 17–22. https://doi.org/10.2753/mer1052-8008230103

Geeslin, K. L., Gudmestad, A., Kanwit, M., Linford, B., Long, A. Y., Schmidt, L. B., & Solon, M. (2018). Sociolinguistic competence and the acquisition of speaking: Speaking in a Second Language. *AILA Applied Linguistics Series*, *17*.

Holmes, J. (2013). *An Introduction to Sociolinguistics*. Routledge.

King, F. J., Goodson, L., & Rohani, F. (n.d.). *Higher Order Thinking Skills*. Center for Advancement of Learning and Assessment. http://www.cala.fsu.edu/files/higher_order_thinking_skills.pdf

LAUGKSCH, R. D. C. (2001). Scientific Literacy: A Conceptual Overview. *Science Education*, *85*(1), 71–73. https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1098-237X(200001)84

Meyerhoff, M. (n.d.). *Introducing Sociolinguistics*.

Meyerhoff, M. (2006). *Introducing Sociolinguistics*. Routledge.

Roberts, D. A. (2007). Scientific Literacy/ Science Literacy. In Abell & N. G. Lederman (Eds.), *Handbook of Research on Science Education* (pp. 729–780). Lawrence Erlbaum Associates Publisher.

Saido, G., Siraj, S., Nordin, A. B. B., & Al-Amedy, O. S. (2015). Higher Order Thinking Skills among Secondary School Students in Science Learning. *The Malaysian Online Journal of Educational Science*, *3*(3), 13–20.

Setyorini, A. (2018). *The Practice of Critical Literacy Teaching in EFL Classroom: A Case Study in a Reading Class in Indonesian Higher Education*. *May*, 35–40. https://doi.org/10.5220/0007161600350040

Tanujaya, B., Mumu, J., & Margono, G. (2017). The Relationship between Higher Order Thinking Skills and Academic Performance of Student in Mathematics Instruction. *International Education Studies*, *10*(11), 78. https://doi.org/10.5539/ies.v10n11p78

Vakili, M. M., & Jahangiri, N. (2018). Content Validity and Reliability of the Measurement Tools in Educational, Behavioral, and Health Sciences Research. *Journal of Medical Education Development*, *10*(28), 106–118. https://doi.org/10.29252/edcj.10.28.106

Wilks, S. (1995). *Critical & Creative Thinking: Strategies for Classroom inquiry*. NSW: Eleanor Curtain.

Artikel Armeria Wijaya Augmenting

ORIGINALITY REPORT

9%	8%	7%	5%
SIMILARITY INDEX	INTERNET SOURCES	PUBLICATIONS	STUDENT PAPERS

PRIMARY SOURCES

1	hdl.handle.net Internet Source	5%
2	ric.edu Internet Source	1%
3	jeseh.net Internet Source	1%
4	Daniel Arkoh Fenyi, Ivy Jones-Mensah. "Higher Order Thinking Skills in English Language Teaching: The Case of Colleges of Education in Ghana", Linguistics Initiative, 2022 Publication	1%

Exclude quotes	On	Exclude matches	< 20 words
Exclude bibliography	On		